

Normal Cones and Continuity of Vector-Valued Convex Functions

Andrea Carioli

*Via L. da Vinci 31/A, 20030 Senago (MI), Italy
andrea.carioli@studenti.unimi.it*

Libor Veselý

*Dipartimento di Matematica, Università degli Studi,
Via C. Saldini 50, 20133 Milano, Italy
libor.vesely@unimi.it*

Received: January 3, 2012

Revised manuscript received: July 31, 2012

It is known that convex functions with values in an ordered Banach space Y , whose positive cone Y_+ is normal, have the same continuity properties as real-valued convex functions. We show that normality of Y_+ is not only sufficient, but also necessary for this.

Keywords: Convex operator, vector-valued convex function, ordered normal linear space, normal cone

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 47H99; Secondary 46B40

In this paper we consider mappings from locally convex topological vector spaces (TVS) to ordered normed linear spaces. Recall that an *ordered linear space* is a couple $(Y, \leq)$, where Y is a vector space over the real field, and $\leq$ is an order relation on the set Y such that, for every u, v in Y , $u \leq v$ implies both $u + w \leq v + w$ for all w in Y , and $\lambda u \leq \lambda v$ for every non-negative scalar λ . In the following, we write simply Y instead of $(Y, \leq)$.

It is an easy known fact that every vector space ordering is completely determined by the convex cone of non-negative elements Y_+ , the *positive cone*, thus we may regard a certain property as a feature of the order relation or of the cone indifferently. Throughout this article we refer to [2] and [3] for the theory of ordered linear spaces.

The order relation allows us to define the notion of convexity for maps valued in such a vector space: if $f: C \rightarrow Y$ is a function from a convex subset C of a vector space and Y is as above, we say that f is *convex* if, taking x and y in C and λ in $[0, 1]$,

$$f((1 - \lambda)x + \lambda y) \leq (1 - \lambda)f(x) + \lambda f(y)$$

holds. It is not difficult to show that this definition of convexity is equivalent, in the case when $C \subset \mathbf{R}$, to the fact that taking x, y and z in C such that $x < y < z$,

one of the following (vector) inequalities holds:

$$\frac{f(y) - f(x)}{y - x} \leq \frac{f(z) - f(x)}{z - x} \leq \frac{f(z) - f(y)}{z - y}$$

(the validity of a single inequality implies the validity of each other one).

Without any relation between the structures of ordered space and topological space of Y , one should not expect that a purely “algebraic” condition like the one in the definition above would imply any continuity property about convex functions. An effective way to establish this relation is given by considering *normal* orderings, for the general theory of which we may refer to [3], Chap. 2, §1. We only recall one of the properties that are equivalent to normality in the case where Y is a normed space, and we put it as a definition:

Definition 1. Let Y be an ordered normed linear space. The positive cone Y_+ is *normal* if there exists a positive constant δ such that, for every u, v satisfying $0 \leq u \leq v$, the inequality $\|u\| \leq \delta\|v\|$ holds.

Using the normality property of the positive cone, regularity results similar to the ones that hold for real-valued convex functions can be obtained. We focus in particular on the following proposition, that is a simple consequence of Proposition 2.3 in [1].

Theorem 2. Let X be a locally convex TVS, $C \subset X$ an open and convex set, Y an ordered normed linear space and $f: C \rightarrow Y$ a convex function. Suppose that Y_+ is normal. The following assertions are equivalent:

- f is bounded above on some neighbourhood V of a point $x_0 \in C$ by a function $g: V \rightarrow Y$ that is continuous at x_0 ;
- f is continuous at x_0 .

In this article we want to prove that normality is also necessary to obtain continuity for convex functions, when the target space Y satisfies certain properties.

We begin with a possibly known fact, for which we have not found any reference.

Lemma 3. Let Y be an ordered Banach space, whose positive cone Y_+ is closed but not normal. Then there exists a norm-unbounded order interval, of the form $[0, w]$.

Proof. Being the cone not normal, it is possible to find two sequences $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, $\{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $0 \leq x_n \leq y_n$, $\|y_n\| = 1$ and $\|x_n\| = 3^n$. Define now w as

$$w = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} y_n ;$$

it is well defined because Y is complete, and is also positive because Y_+ is closed.

Consider the sequence

$$a_n = w - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} 2^{-k} y_k - 2^{-n} x_n.$$

It is easy to observe that, for sufficiently large n ,

$$\|a_n\| \geq \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^n - \left\|w - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} 2^{-k} y_k\right\|$$

and therefore, being the second quantity bounded, $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ turns out to be an unbounded sequence. Moreover, for every n ,

$$0 \leq w - \sum_{k=1}^n 2^{-k} y_k \leq a_n \leq w$$

and so $[0, w]$ is unbounded. $\square$

Proposition 4. *Let Y be as in Lemma 3. Then there exists a convex function $f: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow Y$ which is locally order bounded, but norm unbounded in every neighbourhood of 0.*

Proof. Let $[0, w]$ be the norm unbounded interval constructed in Lemma 3. It is clear that also every interval of the form $[\alpha w, \beta w]$, where $0 \leq \alpha < \beta$, is unbounded and contained in the positive cone. Let $\lambda \in (0, 1)$; since $1 - \lambda + \lambda^2 > \lambda$, there exists $\rho \in \left(1, \frac{1-\lambda+\lambda^2}{\lambda}\right)$. For every $n \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}$ choose $w_n \in [\lambda^{2n} w, \rho \lambda^{2n} w]$ so that $\|w_n\| > n$. Let the function $f: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow Y$ be such that $f = 0$ on $(-\infty, 0]$, $f(\lambda^n) = w_n$ for every integer n and f is affine on each interval $[\lambda^{n+1}, \lambda^n]$; that is, for $t \in [\lambda^{n+1}, \lambda^n]$,

$$f(t) = \frac{\lambda^n}{\lambda^n - \lambda^{n+1}} w_{n+1} - \frac{\lambda^{n+1}}{\lambda^n - \lambda^{n+1}} w_n + \mu_n t,$$

where we put $\mu_n = \frac{w_n - w_{n+1}}{\lambda^n - \lambda^{n+1}}$. The sequence $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ is decreasing, in fact for every $n \geq 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{w_n - w_{n+1}}{\lambda^n - \lambda^{n+1}} - \frac{w_{n+1} - w_{n+2}}{\lambda^{n+1} - \lambda^{n+2}} \\ & \geq \frac{\lambda^{2n} w - \rho \lambda^{2n+2} w}{\lambda^n - \lambda^{n+1}} - \frac{\rho \lambda^{2n+2} w - \lambda^{2n+4} w}{\lambda^{n+1} - \lambda^{n+2}} \\ & = \frac{\lambda^n w}{1 - \lambda} (1 - \rho \lambda^2 - \rho \lambda + \lambda^3) \\ & \geq \frac{\lambda^n w}{1 - \lambda} (1 - \lambda (1 - \lambda + \lambda^2) - (1 - \lambda + \lambda^2) + \lambda^3) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

In order to prove the convexity of f it is sufficient to show that, for every choice of x, y, z in $\mathbf{R}$ with $x < y < z$,

$$\frac{f(y) - f(x)}{y - x} \leq \frac{f(z) - f(y)}{z - y}. \quad (1)$$

Let us distinguish several cases.

If $y \leq 0$, the inequality (1) is trivially satisfied since the left-hand side is zero, and the right-hand side belongs to Y_+ .

If $x \leq 0 < y$, suppose that $y \in (\lambda^{m+1}, \lambda^m]$ and $z \in (\lambda^{n+1}, \lambda^n]$; assume for the moment that m is strictly greater than n , and evaluate the right-hand side of (1):

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{f(z) - f(y)}{z - y} \\ &= \frac{1}{z - y} \left(f(y) + (\lambda^m - y)\mu_m + \sum_{j=n+1}^{m-1} (\lambda^j - \lambda^{j+1})\mu_j + (z - \lambda^{n+1})\mu_n - f(y) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Being $\mu_j \geq \mu_m$ we obtain the following estimate:

$$\frac{f(z) - f(y)}{z - y} \geq \frac{1}{z - y} \left(\lambda^m - y + \sum_{j=n+1}^{m-1} (\lambda^j - \lambda^{j+1}) + z - \lambda^{n+1} \right) \mu_m = \mu_m.$$

Notice that the final result is valid also if $m = n$. Since $f(x) = 0$ we have

$$\frac{f(y) - f(x)}{y - x} - \frac{f(z) - f(y)}{z - y} \leq \frac{f(y)}{y - x} - \mu_m. \quad (2)$$

Since $x \leq 0$, replacing $f(y)$ in the right-hand side of (2) with its explicit expression we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{y - x} \left(\frac{\lambda^m}{\lambda^m - \lambda^{m+1}} w_{m+1} - \frac{\lambda^{m+1}}{\lambda^m - \lambda^{m+1}} w_m \right) + \frac{y}{y - x} \mu_m - \mu_m \\ & \leq \frac{1}{y - x} \left(\frac{\lambda^m}{\lambda^m - \lambda^{m+1}} w_{m+1} - \frac{\lambda^{m+1}}{\lambda^m - \lambda^{m+1}} w_m \right) \\ & = \frac{1}{y - x} \frac{\lambda^m}{\lambda^m - \lambda^{m+1}} (w_{m+1} - \lambda w_m) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{y - x} \frac{\lambda^m}{\lambda^m - \lambda^{m+1}} (\rho \lambda^{2m+2} - \lambda^{2m+1}) w \\ & = \frac{1}{y - x} \frac{\lambda^{m+2m+1}}{\lambda^m (1 - \lambda)} (\rho \lambda - 1) w \\ & \leq \frac{1}{y - x} \frac{\lambda^{3m+1}}{\lambda^m (1 - \lambda)} (-\lambda + \lambda^2) w \\ & = -\frac{\lambda^{2m+2}}{y - x} w \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

This proves (1) for $z \leq \lambda$. It is easy to verify in a similar way that the same holds also if y or z is greater than λ .

In the case when $x > 0$ we can proceed in a similar way as above; let us only sketch the calculation. Take $x \in (\lambda^{l+1}, \lambda^l]$, $y \in (\lambda^{m+1}, \lambda^m]$, $z \in (\lambda^{n+1}, \lambda^n]$, and assume that $l \geq m \geq n$. Now, both the estimates $\frac{f(z)-f(y)}{z-y} \geq \mu_m$, $\frac{f(y)-f(x)}{y-x} \leq \mu_m$ come as a consequence of the already used equality

$$f(z) - f(y) = f(y) + (\lambda^m - y)\mu_m + \sum_{j=n+1}^{m-1} (\lambda^j - \lambda^{j+1})\mu_j + (z - \lambda^{n+1})\mu_n - f(y)$$

(with obvious adaptations, where needed). As above, it is easy to extend the considerations to the case that x , y or z lie in $(\lambda, +\infty)$. The relation (1) is hence satisfied also in this case, and the proof is complete. $\square$

The construction above can be exploited in order to obtain a more general result.

Corollary 5. *Let X be a locally convex TVS and Y as in Lemma 3. Then there exists a function $F: X \rightarrow Y$ that is convex, bounded above on some neighbourhood of 0, and norm unbounded on every neighbourhood of 0.*

Proof. Let f be the function constructed in Proposition 4. Let v be an element of $X \setminus \{0\}$, and consider an element x^* of the topological dual X^* of X such that $x^*(v) = 1$; this functional exists since X is locally convex.¹ The function F defined as

$$F(x) = f(x^*(x))$$

is convex, unbounded with respect to the norm near 0 and is bounded above on every set of the form $\{x \in X: x^*(x) \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)\}$, where $\varepsilon > 0$. $\square$

Finally, it is possible to conclude with the following result:

Theorem 6. *Let $I \subset \mathbf{R}$ be an open interval, X a (nontrivial) locally convex TVS, $C \subset X$ an open, convex set, Y an ordered Banach space whose positive cone Y_+ is closed. The following assertions are equivalent:*

- (i) Y_+ is normal;
- (ii) every convex function $f: I \rightarrow Y$ is continuous;
- (iii) every convex function $f: I \rightarrow Y$ is locally norm bounded;
- (iv) every convex function $F: C \rightarrow Y$, which is bounded above on some open subset of C , is continuous;
- (v) every convex function $F: C \rightarrow Y$, which is bounded above on some nonempty open subset of C , is locally norm bounded.

Proof. The equivalence of (i), (ii) and (iii) follows from Proposition 4; the implication “(i) $\Rightarrow$ (iv)” is a consequence of Theorem 2, the implication “(iv) $\Rightarrow$ (v)” is evident, and “(v) $\Rightarrow$ (i)” follows directly from Corollary 5. $\square$

¹This is a consequence of the Hahn-Banach Theorem, see [4] for details.

References

- [1] J. M. Borwein: Continuity and differentiability properties of convex operators, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc., III. Ser. 44 (1982) 420–444.
- [2] G. Jameson: Ordered Linear Spaces, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 141, Springer, Berlin (1970).
- [3] A. L. Peressini: Ordered Topological Vector Spaces, Harper & Row, New York (1967).
- [4] W. Rudin: Functional Analysis, International Series in Pure and Applied Mathematics, McGraw-Hill, New York (1974).