

A Note on Quasi-Convex Functions

Nicolai V. Krylov

*School of Mathematics, University of Minnesota,
127 Vincent Hall, Minneapolis, MN 55455, U.S.A.
nkrylov@umn.edu*

Received: November 22, 2017

Accepted: February 06, 2018

We present an example of smooth quasi-convex functions in the positive octant of $\mathbb{R}^3$ which cannot be obtained as the images of convex smooth functions under a monotone smooth mapping of $\mathbb{R}$.

Keywords: Quasi-convex functions, convex functions, monotone functions.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 26B25, 26B40

1. Introduction

Quasi-convex functions play an important role in problems related to continuous optimization and mathematical programming such as generalizations of the von Neumann minimax theorem, the Kuhn-Tucker saddle-point theorem, and other optimization problems related to consumer demand and indirect utility function (see, for instance, [3], [5] and the references therein).

According to [3] a real-valued function $u(x)$ defined in a convex subset E of the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^d$ is called *quasi-convex* if

$$u(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) \leq \max[u(x), u(y)]$$

as long as $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, $x, y \in E$. Convex functions in [3] are those for which

$$f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) \leq \lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)f(y).$$

Numerous properties of quasi-convex functions and their relation to convex functions are discussed, for instance, in [3], [6], and [1], in particular, that $F[f(x)]$ is quasi-convex if f is convex and F is nondecreasing. Somehow the following very natural question is left untouched: can any quasi-convex function u be represented as $F[f(x)]$ with convex f and nondecreasing F ? This issue is also avoided in many other publications on the subject of quasi-convexity. The reason for that is probably because the answer to this question is negative and the corresponding counterexamples are given in [2]. In these examples, however, u is not smooth and for almost any point of E there exists a neighborhood such that in that neighborhood the above representation still holds.

We want to present an example of a *smooth* function u , which is quasi-convex in a convex domain E such that there are no smooth and strictly monotone functions F such that $F[u(x)]$ is convex in a ball in E . Then, of course, u itself is not even locally an increasing smooth image of a smooth convex function. This directly contradicts the claim made in n. 1 of [2] that for any *smooth* quasi-convex function u one can find strictly increasing F such that $F[u(x)]$ is convex. Our arguments have much in common with Remark 5.14 of [4].

2. Main result

Theorem 2.1. *In $\mathbb{R}^3$ consider the domain $E := \{(x, y, z) : x, y, z > 0\}$, fix $\alpha > 0$, and introduce the function*

$$u(x, y, z) = \frac{z^\alpha(x^\alpha + y^\alpha)}{x^\alpha y^\alpha}.$$

Then E is convex, u is quasi-convex in E , and, if $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, then for any $(x_0, y_0, z_0) \in E$ and any twice continuously differentiable function $F(t)$ on $\mathbb{R}$ such that $F'[u(x_0, y_0, z_0)] \neq 0$, the matrix of the second order derivatives of $F[u(x, y, z)]$ at (x_0, y_0, z_0) is neither nonnegative nor nonpositive. In consequence, $F[u(x, y, z)]$ is neither convex nor concave in any neighborhood of any point in E if $F' > 0$ or $F' < 0$ on $\mathbb{R}$.

Proof. First observe that the function

$$v(x, y) = \frac{x^\alpha + y^\alpha}{x^\alpha y^\alpha} = \frac{1}{x^\alpha} + \frac{1}{y^\alpha}$$

is convex in $(0, \infty)^2$. Then, in light of homogeneity of u for $(x_i, y_i, z_i) \in (0, \infty)^3$, $\lambda_i \in [0, 1]$, $i = 1, 2$, such that $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} u(\lambda_1 x_1 + \lambda_2 x_2, \lambda_1 y_1 + \lambda_2 y_2, \lambda_1 z_1 + \lambda_2 z_2) &= \\ = v\left(\frac{\lambda_1 x_1 + \lambda_2 x_2}{\lambda_1 z_1 + \lambda_2 z_2}, \frac{\lambda_1 y_1 + \lambda_2 y_2}{\lambda_1 z_1 + \lambda_2 z_2}\right) &= v\left(\mu_1 \frac{x_1}{z_1} + \mu_2 \frac{x_2}{z_2}, \mu_1 \frac{y_1}{z_1} + \mu_2 \frac{y_2}{z_2}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where $\mu_i = \lambda_i z_i / (\lambda_1 z_1 + \lambda_2 z_2)$. Since $\mu_i \geq 0$ and $\mu_1 + \mu_2 = 1$ and v is convex, the last expression above is less than

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_1 v\left(\frac{x_1}{z_1}, \frac{y_1}{z_1}\right) + \mu_2 v\left(\frac{x_2}{z_2}, \frac{y_2}{z_2}\right) &= \mu_1 u(x_1, y_1, z_1) + \mu_2 u(x_2, y_2, z_2) \\ &\leq \max[u(x_1, y_1, z_1), u(x_2, y_2, z_2)]. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that u is quasi-convex in E .

We now come to analyzing $F[u]$. Denote by Dv the column-vector gradient of v and by D^2v its matrix of the second-order derivatives. By a^* we mean the

transpose of a matrix a and by $\langle a, b \rangle$ we mean the scalar product of $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^3$. We have

$$D\{F[u]\} = F'[u]Du, \quad D^2\{F[u]\} = F''[u]Du(Du)^* + F'[u]D^2u.$$

We fix $(x_0, y_0, z_0) \in E$ and take a column-vector $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ (written in a common abuse of notation as a row vector) such that at (x_0, y_0, z_0) we have $\langle Du, \xi \rangle = 0$, which means that

$$\begin{aligned} -\xi_1 \alpha \frac{z_0^\alpha}{x_0^{\alpha+1}} - \xi_2 \alpha \frac{z_0^\alpha}{y_0^{\alpha+1}} + \xi_3 \alpha \frac{z_0^{\alpha-1}(x_0^\alpha + y_0^\alpha)}{x_0^\alpha y_0^\alpha} &= 0, \\ \xi_3 &= \frac{z_0 x_0^\alpha y_0^\alpha}{x_0^\alpha + y_0^\alpha} \left(\frac{\xi_1}{x_0^{\alpha+1}} + \frac{\xi_2}{y_0^{\alpha+1}} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} u_{xx} &= \alpha(\alpha+1) \frac{z^\alpha}{x^{\alpha+2}}, \quad u_{xy} = 0, \quad u_{xz} = -\alpha^2 \frac{z^{\alpha-1}}{x^{\alpha+1}}, \quad u_{yz} = -\alpha^2 \frac{z^{\alpha-1}}{y^{\alpha+1}}, \\ u_{yy} &= \alpha(\alpha+1) \frac{z^\alpha}{y^{\alpha+2}}, \quad u_{zz} = \alpha(\alpha-1) \frac{z^{\alpha-2}(x^\alpha + y^\alpha)}{x^\alpha y^\alpha}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that for ξ satisfying (1) we have at (x_0, y_0, z_0)

$$\begin{aligned} (F'[u])^{-1} \langle \xi, D^2\{F[u]\} \xi \rangle &= \langle \xi, D^2 u \xi \rangle = \\ &= \alpha(\alpha+1) \frac{z_0^\alpha}{x_0^{\alpha+2}} \xi_1^2 + \alpha(\alpha+1) \frac{z_0^\alpha}{y_0^{\alpha+2}} \xi_2^2 - \\ &\quad - 2\alpha^2 \left(\xi_1 \frac{z_0^{\alpha-1}}{x_0^{\alpha+1}} + \xi_2 \frac{z_0^{\alpha-1}}{y_0^{\alpha+1}} \right) \frac{z_0 x_0^\alpha y_0^\alpha}{x_0^\alpha + y_0^\alpha} \left(\frac{\xi_1}{x_0^{\alpha+1}} + \frac{\xi_2}{y_0^{\alpha+1}} \right) + \\ &\quad + \alpha(\alpha-1) \frac{z_0^{\alpha-2}(x_0^\alpha + y_0^\alpha)}{x_0^\alpha y_0^\alpha} \frac{z_0^2 x_0^{2\alpha} y_0^{2\alpha}}{(x_0^\alpha + y_0^\alpha)^2} \left(\frac{\xi_1}{x_0^{\alpha+1}} + \frac{\xi_2}{y_0^{\alpha+1}} \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Here the sum of the last two terms equals

$$z_0^\alpha \frac{x_0^\alpha y_0^\alpha}{x_0^\alpha + y_0^\alpha} \left(\frac{\xi_1}{x_0^{\alpha+1}} + \frac{\xi_2}{y_0^{\alpha+1}} \right)^2 [\alpha(\alpha-1) - 2\alpha^2].$$

Therefore, $(F'[u])^{-1} \langle \xi, D^2\{F[u]\} \xi \rangle = z_0^\alpha Q(\xi_1, \xi_2)$, where

$$\begin{aligned} Q(\xi_1, \xi_2) &= \frac{1}{x_0^{\alpha+2}} \left[\alpha(\alpha+1) - (\alpha^2+1) \frac{y_0^\alpha}{x_0^\alpha + y_0^\alpha} \right] \xi_1^2 + \\ &+ \frac{1}{y_0^{\alpha+2}} \left[\alpha(\alpha+1) - (\alpha^2+1) \frac{x_0^\alpha}{x_0^\alpha + y_0^\alpha} \right] \xi_2^2 - 2(\alpha^2+1) \frac{x_0^\alpha y_0^\alpha}{x_0^\alpha + y_0^\alpha} \frac{1}{x_0^{\alpha+1}} \frac{1}{y_0^{\alpha+1}} \xi_1 \xi_2. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that to prove our claim it suffices to show that the quadratic form Q with respect to (ξ_1, ξ_2) is neither nonnegative nor nonpositive. For this to be true we need to show that the determinant of its matrix

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{x_0^{\alpha+2}} \frac{1}{y_0^{\alpha+2}} \left[\alpha(\alpha+1) - (\alpha^2+1) \frac{y_0^\alpha}{x_0^\alpha + y_0^\alpha} \right] \left[\alpha(\alpha+1) - (\alpha^2+1) \frac{x_0^\alpha}{x_0^\alpha + y_0^\alpha} \right] - \\ & - (\alpha^2+1)^2 \frac{x_0^{2\alpha} y_0^{2\alpha}}{(x_0^\alpha + y_0^\alpha)^2} \frac{1}{x_0^{2\alpha+2}} \frac{1}{y_0^{2\alpha+2}} =: \frac{1}{x_0^{\alpha+2}} \frac{1}{y_0^{\alpha+2}} R(\xi_1, \xi_2) \end{aligned}$$

is negative. One checks easily that

$$R(\xi_1, \xi_2) = \alpha^2(\alpha+1)^2 - \alpha(\alpha+1)(\alpha^2+1) = \alpha(\alpha+1)(\alpha-1),$$

which is < 0 if $\alpha < 1$, and the theorem is proved in this case.

In case $\alpha = 1$, take ξ from above, introduce $\eta = \xi + \kappa Du(x_0, y_0, z_0)$, and note that

$$\begin{aligned} & (F'[u])^{-1} \langle \eta, D^2\{F[u]\}\eta \rangle = \langle \xi, D^2u\xi \rangle + 2\kappa \langle D^2u\xi, Du \rangle \\ & + \left[F''[u] (F'[u])^{-1} |Du|^4 + \langle D^2uDu, Du \rangle \right] \kappa^2, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where at (x_0, y_0, z_0) as is easy to check

$$\langle \xi, D^2u\xi \rangle = \frac{2z_0}{x_0 + y_0} \left(\frac{\xi_1}{x_0} - \frac{\xi_2}{y_0} \right)^2.$$

This quantity vanishes if $\xi_1 = tx_0, \xi_2 = ty_0, t \in \mathbb{R}$, and for the right-hand side of (2) not to change sign, say for $\kappa = 1$, when t runs through $\mathbb{R}$ it is necessary to have $\langle D^2uDu, \xi \rangle = 0$ for those ξ_1, ξ_2 .

However, with this choice at (x_0, y_0, z_0) we have $\xi_3 = tz_0$ and

$$D^2u\xi = t \left(\frac{z_0}{x_0^2}, \frac{z_0}{y_0^2}, -\frac{1}{x_0} - \frac{1}{y_0} \right),$$

$$\langle D^2u\xi, Du \rangle = t \left(-\frac{z_0^2}{x_0^4} - \frac{z_0^2}{y_0^4} - \left(\frac{1}{x_0} + \frac{1}{y_0} \right)^2 \right).$$

This shows that for $\alpha = 1$ as well, the right-hand side of (2) has different signs for any fixed (x_0, y_0, z_0) if we vary ξ and κ and finishes the proof of the theorem.

Remark 2.2. One can show that, if $\alpha > 1$, then for any point $(x_0, y_0, z_0) \in E$ one can find large $\lambda > 0$ such that $\exp(\lambda u)$ is strictly convex in a neighborhood of (x_0, y_0, z_0) . Of course, $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ as $\alpha \downarrow 1$.

References

- [1] S. Boyd, L. Vandenberghe: *Convex Optimization*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2009).
- [2] B. de Finetti: Sulle stratificazioni convesse, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* (4), Vol. 30 (1949) 173–183.
- [3] H. J. Greenberg, W. P. Pierskalla: A review of quasi-convex functions, *Operations Research* 19(7) (1971) 1553–1570.
- [4] N. V. Krylov: On the general notion of fully nonlinear second order elliptic equation, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 347(3) (1995) 857–895.
- [5] P. G. Moffatt: A class of indirect utility functions predicting Giffen behavior. New insights into the theory of Giffen goods, in: *Lecture Notes Econ. Math. Systems* 655, Springer, Berlin et al. (2012) 127–141.
- [6] A. W. Roberts, D. E. Varberg: *Convex Functions*, Pure and Applied Mathematics 57, Academic Press, New York et al. (1973).