

A Fenchel-Moreau Theorem for $\bar{L}^0$ -Valued Functions *

Samuel Drapeau

*Shanghai Jiao Tong University, School of Mathematical Sciences and China Academy
of Financial Research (CAFR/SAIF), 211 West Huaihai Road, Shanghai, China
sdrapeau@saif.sjtu.edu.cn*

Asgar Jamneshan

*Dept. of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Konstanz, 78464 Konstanz, Germany
asgar.jamneshan@uni-konstanz.de*

Michael Kupper[†]

*Dept. of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Konstanz, 78464 Konstanz, Germany
kupper@uni-konstanz.de*

Received: October 30, 2017

Revised manuscript received: May 11, 2018

Accepted: May 24, 2018

We establish a Fenchel-Moreau type theorem for proper convex functions $f: X \rightarrow \bar{L}^0$, where $(X, Y, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is a dual pair of Banach spaces and $\bar{L}^0$ is the space of all extended real-valued functions on a σ -finite measure space. We introduce the concept of stable lower semi-continuity which is shown to be equivalent to the existence of a dual representation

$$f(x) = \sup_{y \in L^0(Y)} \{ \langle x, y \rangle - f^*(y) \}, \quad x \in X,$$

where $L^0(Y)$ is the space of all strongly measurable functions with values in Y , and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is understood pointwise almost everywhere. The proof is based on a conditional extension result and conditional functional analysis.

Keywords: Fenchel-Moreau theorem, vector duality, semi-continuous extension, conditional functional analysis.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 46A20, 03C90, 46B22.

1. Introduction

This article contributes to vector duality by providing a notion of lower semi-continuity and proving its equivalence to a Fenchel-Moreau type dual representation. Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ be a σ -finite measure space, $(X, Y, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ a dual pair of Banach spaces and $\bar{L}^0$ the collection of all measurable functions $x: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm\infty\}$, where two of them are identified if they agree almost everywhere. Consider on $\bar{L}^0$ the

* We thank an anonymous referee for helpful comments. S.D. is supported by NSF of China grant “Research Fund for International Young Scientists” 11550110184. The grant “Assessment of Risk and Uncertainty in Finance” number AF0710020 from Shanghai Jiao Tong University is gratefully acknowledged. A.J. and M.K. are supported by DFG grant KU-2740/2-1.

[†] Corresponding author.

order of almost everywhere dominance. Let $f: X \rightarrow \bar{L}^0$ be a proper convex function. We prove that stable lower semi-continuity (see below) is equivalent to the Fenchel-Moreau type dual representation

$$f(x) = \sup_{y \in L^0(Y)} \{\langle x, y \rangle - f^*(y)\}, \quad x \in X, \quad (1)$$

where $L^0(Y)$ is the space of all strongly measurable functions $y: \Omega \rightarrow Y$ modulo almost everywhere equality, $f^*(\cdot) = \sup_{x \in X} \{\langle x, \cdot \rangle - f(x)\}$ is the convex conjugate and $\langle x, y \rangle(\omega) := \langle x, y(\omega) \rangle$ almost everywhere.

The idea is to extend the algebraic and topological structure of $f: X \rightarrow \bar{L}^0$ to a larger L^0 -module context in such a way that a conditional version of the Fenchel-Moreau theorem can be applied. More precisely, we first extend the duality pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ to a conditional duality pairing on $L^0(X) \times L^0(Y)$. We consider on $L^0(X)$ the stable weak topology $\sigma_s(L^0(X), L^0(Y))$ which can be viewed as the conditional analogue of the weak topology $\sigma(X, Y)$.

For a discussion of topologies in conditional settings or L^0 -modules, we refer the reader to [3, 4, 5, 11].

We call a function $f: X \rightarrow \bar{L}^0$ σ_s -lower semi-continuous if its extension f_s to step functions given by $f_s(\sum_k x_k 1_{A_k}) := \sum_k f(x_k) 1_{A_k}$ is lower semi-continuous w.r.t. the relative $\sigma_s(L^0(X), L^0(Y))$ -topology (notice that the space $L_s^0(X)$ of step functions with values in X is a subset of $L^0(X)$). We prove that σ_s -lower semi-continuity is sufficient to extend $f: X \rightarrow \bar{L}^0$ to a stable proper L^0 -convex and $\sigma_s(L^0(X), L^0(Y))$ -lower semi-continuous function $F: L^0(X) \rightarrow \bar{L}^0$. Building on a conditional version of the Fenchel-Moreau theorem, we find the conditional dual representation

$$F(x) = \sup_{y \in L^0(Y)} \{\langle x, y \rangle - F^*(y)\}, \quad x \in L^0(X), \quad (2)$$

for a conditional convex conjugate $F^*: L^0(Y) \rightarrow \bar{L}^0$. Finally, by restricting (2) to X , we arrive at the representation (1).

In optimization Fenchel-Moreau duality is an important result for strong duality and related regularity conditions, see [9] for vector optimization results based on the Fenchel-Moreau duality in this work. Our Fenchel-Moreau theorem cannot be obtained from scalarization techniques [1, 2], set-valued methods [6, 7, 17] or vector-space techniques [13, 20]. The module approach in [4, 16] cannot be applied since a Banach space is a priori not an L^0 -module. A similar approach to ours is taken in [15] with the tools of Boolean-valued analysis [14], albeit in the context of norm topologies. For further results in vector and conditional duality, we refer the reader to [2, 8, 10, 18, 19].

The remainder of this article is organized as follows. In Section 2 we introduce the setting and prove the main extension result. In Section 3 we derive a vector-valued Fenchel-Moreau theorem.

2. Extension of stable lower semi-continuous functions

2.1. Preliminaries

Let L^0 , L^0_{++} and $\bar{L}^0$ denote the spaces of all measurable functions on a σ -finite measure space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ with values in $\mathbb{R}$, $\mathbb{R}_{++}$ and $[-\infty, +\infty]$, where two of them are identified if they agree almost everywhere (a.e.). In particular, all equalities and inequalities in $\bar{L}^0$ are understood in the a.e. sense. Every nonempty subset C of $\bar{L}^0$ has a least upper bound $\sup C := \text{ess sup } C$ and a greatest lower bound $\inf C := \text{ess inf } C$ in $\bar{L}^0$ with respect to the a.e. order.

Throughout all functions on Ω are assumed to be (strongly) measurable and we identify functions which agree a.e.. Given a set Z , we denote by $L^0_s(Z)$ the space of all *step functions* $\sum_k z_k 1_{A_k} : \Omega \rightarrow Z$, where (z_k) is a sequence in Z , (A_k) is a partition of Ω , and $\sum_k z_k 1_{A_k}$ denotes the function which is equal to z_k for almost all $\omega \in A_k$. If Z is partially ordered we consider on $L^0_s(Z)$ the partial order $\sum_k x_k 1_{A_k} \geq \sum_l y_l 1_{B_l}$ whenever $x_k \geq y_l$ for all k, l with $\mu(A_k \cap B_l) > 0$. Given a function f from Z to a set $\tilde{Z}$, its *extension to step functions* $f_s : L^0_s(Z) \rightarrow L^0_s(\tilde{Z})$ is defined by

$$f\left(\sum_k z_k 1_{A_k}\right) := \sum_k f(z_k) 1_{A_k}.$$

A set H of functions on Ω is called *stable* (under countable concatenations) if it is non-empty and $\sum_k h_k 1_{A_k} \in H$ for every sequence (h_k) in H and every partition (A_k) of Ω . A *stable family* $(h_i)_{i \in I}$ in H is a family (h_i) in H indexed by a stable set I of functions on Ω such that

$$\sum_k h_{i_k} 1_{A_k} = h_{\sum_k i_k 1_{A_k}}$$

for every sequence (i_k) in I and every partition (A_k) of Ω . A *stable net* (h_α) in H is a stable family indexed by a stable set of functions with values in a directed set. If the directed set is $\mathbb{N}$ the stable net (h_n) is a *stable sequence* in which case the index set equals $L^0_s(\mathbb{N})$. A stable family (h_m) is a *stable finite family* if it is indexed by a stable set of the form $\{m \in L^0_s(\mathbb{N}) : 1 \leq m \leq n\}$ for some $n \in L^0_s(\mathbb{N})$. Let I and (H_i) , $i \in I$, be stable sets of functions on Ω . Then $(H_i)_{i \in I}$ is called a *stable family of stable sets* if

$$\sum_k H_{i_k} 1_{A_k} := \left\{ \sum_k h_{i_k} 1_{A_k} : h_{i_k} \in H_{i_k} \right\} = H_{\sum_k i_k 1_{A_k}}$$

for every sequence (i_k) in I and every partition (A_k) of Ω .

Remark 2.1. Given a stable family of stable sets $(H_i)_{i \in I}$ there exists a stable family $(h_i)_{i \in I}$ such that $h_i \in H_i$ for all $i \in I$. This follows by the same arguments as in [3, Theorem 2.26], where the statement is shown within conditional set theory.

2.2. Stable lower semi-continuity

Let $(X, Y, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a dual pair of Banach spaces such that

- (i) $|\langle x, y \rangle| \leq \|x\| \|y\|$ for all $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$, and
- (ii) both norm-closed unit balls are weakly closed.

Example 2.2. (a) Let X be a Banach space, Y its topological dual space endowed with the operator norm and $\langle x, y \rangle := y(x)$.

(b) Let $X = L^p$ and $Y = L^q$ on a finite measure space $(S, \mathcal{S}, \nu)$ with $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$ and $1/p + 1/q \leq 1$ and $\langle f, g \rangle := \int_S fg d\nu$.

(c) Let $X = B_b(S)$ be the Banach space of bounded measurable functions on a measurable space $(S, \mathcal{S})$ endowed with the supremum norm, $Y = \mathcal{M}(S)$ the Banach space of finite signed measures endowed with the total variation norm and $\langle f, \mu \rangle := \int_S f d\mu$.

(d) Let $X = C_b(S)$ be the Banach space of bounded continuous functions on a completely regular Hausdorff space endowed with the supremum norm, $Y = \mathcal{M}_r(S)$ the Banach space of finite signed inner regular measures on the Borel σ -algebra of S endowed with the total variation norm and $\langle f, \mu \rangle := \int_S f d\mu$.

We denote by $L^0(X)$ and $L^0(Y)$ the spaces of all strongly measurable functions on Ω with values in X and Y . We understand X as a subset of $L^0_s(X) \subset L^0(X)$ via the embedding $x \mapsto x1_\Omega$. Recall that the norm of X extends to $L^0(X)$ by $\|x\| := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n\| \in L^0$, where (x_n) is a sequence in $L^0_s(X)$ such that $x_n \rightarrow x$ a.e.. In particular, for every $x \in L^0(X)$ and each $r \in L^0_{++}$ there exists $\tilde{x} \in L^0_s(X)$ such that $\|x - \tilde{x}\| \leq r$. Similarly, the duality pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ can be extended from $X \times Y$ to $L^0(X) \times L^0(Y)$ by setting $\langle x, y \rangle := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle x_n, y_n \rangle \in L^0$, where (x_n) is a sequence in $L^0_s(X)$ such that $x_n \rightarrow x$ a.e. and (y_n) is a sequence in $L^0_s(Y)$ such that $y_n \rightarrow y$ a.e.. Observe that, for all $x \in L^0(X)$ and $y \in L^0(Y)$,

$$|\langle x, y \rangle| \leq \|x\| \|y\|. \quad (3)$$

Next, we endow $L^0(X)$ with a topological structure given by the following neighborhood base. For every $x \in L^0(X)$, define

$$\mathcal{V}(x) := \left\{ V_{(y_m)_{1 \leq m \leq n}}^r(x) : r \in L^0_{++}, (y_m)_{1 \leq m \leq n} \text{ stable finite family in } L^0(Y) \right\},$$

where

$$V_{(y_m)_{1 \leq m \leq n}}^r(x) := \{ \tilde{x} \in L^0(X) : |\langle \tilde{x} - x, y_m \rangle| \leq r \text{ for all } 1 \leq m \leq n \}.$$

We notice that $\mathcal{V}(x)$ is a stable family of stable sets. Indeed, for each $r \in L^0_{++}$ and every stable finite family $(y_m)_{1 \leq m \leq n}$ in $L^0(Y)$ the set $V_{(y_m)_{1 \leq m \leq n}}^r(x)$ in $L^0(X)$ is stable. For every $n = \sum_j n_j 1_{A_j} \in L^0_s(\mathbb{N})$, $r \in L^0_{++}$ and $y_1, y_2, \dots \in L^0(Y)$ the stable set $V_{(y_m)_{1 \leq m \leq n}}^r(x)$ is determined through the element $\sum_j (r, n_j, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n_j}, 0, 0, \dots) 1_{A_j}$ in the space of all strongly measurable functions on Ω with values in the Banach space of sequences $(r, n, y_1, y_2, \dots) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times l^\infty(Y)$ with finite norm $\|(r, n, y_1, y_2, \dots)\| := |r| + |n| + \sup_j \|y_j\|$. Denoting by I the stable set of all $\sum_j (r, n_j, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n_j}, 0, 0, \dots) 1_{A_j}$ for $n = \sum_j n_j 1_{A_j} \in L^0_s(\mathbb{N})$, $r \in L^0_{++}$ and $y_1, y_2, \dots \in L^0(Y)$, one has $\mathcal{V}(x) = (V_i(x))_{i \in I}$ where $V_i(x) = V_{(y_m)_{1 \leq m \leq n}}^r(x)$ for $i = \sum_j (r, n_j, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n_j}, 0, 0, \dots) 1_{A_j}$. This shows that $\mathcal{V}(x) = (V_i(x))_{i \in I}$ is a

stable family of stable sets. In the following we frequently view $\mathcal{V}(x)$ as a set of functions on Ω by identifying it with the stable set I .

The topology induced by the neighborhood base $\mathcal{V}(x)$ is referred to as a *stable topology* and is denoted by $\sigma_s(L^0(X), L^0(Y))$ or simply by σ_s . Stable topologies are introduced in [3] within conditional set theory, we refer to [11] for their connection to (ϵ, λ) -topologies and L^0 -topologies. In this topology a stable net (x_α) in $L^0(X)$ converges to x if and only if $|\langle x_\alpha - x, y \rangle| \rightarrow 0$ a.e. for all $y \in L^0(Y)$. Moreover, a stable subset C of $L^0(X)$ is closed if and only if $x \in C$ for every stable net (x_α) in C which converges to x .

Definition 2.3. (a) A function $f: X \rightarrow \bar{L}^0$ is called σ_s -lower semi-continuous, if $f(x) \leq \liminf_\alpha f_s(x_\alpha)$ for every stable net (x_α) in $L_s^0(X)$ which converges to $x \in X$.
 (b) The function f is said to be *proper convex*, if $f(x) > -\infty$ for all $x \in X$ and $f(x') \in L^0$ for some $x' \in X$, as well as $f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)\tilde{x}) \leq \lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)f(\tilde{x})$ for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ with $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ and all $x, \tilde{x} \in X$.

Proposition 2.4. *For a function $f: X \rightarrow \bar{L}^0$ the following properties are equivalent.*

- (i) f is σ_s -lower semi-continuous.
- (ii) $f(x) = \sup_{V \in \mathcal{V}(x)} \inf\{f_s(\tilde{x}) : \tilde{x} \in V \cap L_s^0(X)\}$ for all $x \in X$.
- (iii) The sublevel set $\{x \in L_s^0(X) : f_s(x) \leq a\}$ is closed for each $a \in \bar{L}^0$.

Proof. By stability the properties (i) and (ii) can equivalently be formulated for all $x \in L_s^0(X)$.

(i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii): Fix $x \in X$. Obviously, one has

$$d := \sup_{V \in \mathcal{V}(x)} \inf\{f_s(\tilde{x}) : \tilde{x} \in V \cap L_s^0(X)\} \leq f(x).$$

On the other hand, fix $\varepsilon \in L_{++}^0$ and consider the stable family of stable sets

$$\left\{x \in V \cap L_s^0(X) : \inf_{\tilde{x} \in V \cap L_s^0(X)} \arctan(f_s(\tilde{x})) + \varepsilon \geq \arctan(f_s(x))\right\}_{V \in \mathcal{V}(x)}.$$

By Remark 2.1, there exists a stable family $(x_V)_{V \in \mathcal{V}(x)}$ with $x_V \in V \cap L_s^0(X)$ and

$$\inf_{\tilde{x} \in V \cap L_s^0(X)} \arctan(f_s(\tilde{x})) + \varepsilon \geq \arctan(f_s(x_V))$$

for all $V \in \mathcal{V}(x)$. Since the stable index set $\mathcal{V}(x)$ is ordered by reverse inclusion, the family $(x_V)_{V \in \mathcal{V}(x)}$ is a stable net which by construction converges to x . By σ_s -lower semi-continuity of f it follows that

$$\arctan(d) \geq \liminf_{V \in \mathcal{V}(x)} \arctan(f_s(x_V)) - \varepsilon \geq \arctan(f(x)) - \varepsilon.$$

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii): Let $a \in \bar{L}^0$ and (x_α) be a stable net with $f_s(x_\alpha) \leq a$ which converges to $x \in L_s^0(x)$. Then one has

$$f_s(x) = \sup_{V \in \mathcal{V}(x)} \inf\{f_s(\tilde{x}) : \tilde{x} \in V \cap L_s^0(X)\} \leq \liminf_\alpha f_s(x_\alpha) \leq a.$$

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i): Let (x_α) be a stable net in $L_s^0(X)$ which converges to $x \in X$. By Remark 2.1, there exists for every $\varepsilon \in L_{++}^0$ a stable subnet (x_β) of (x_α) such that

$$\arctan(f_s(x_\beta)) \leq \liminf_\alpha \arctan(f_s(x_\alpha)) + \varepsilon$$

for all β . Since $x_\beta \rightarrow x$ it follows that $\arctan(f(x)) \leq \liminf_\alpha \arctan(f_s(x_\alpha)) + \varepsilon$, showing that f is σ_s -lower semi-continuous. $\square$

Remark 2.5. Let $X = Y = L^2$ on $(0, 1]$ endowed with the Lebesgue measure on its Borel σ -algebra, and consider the identity map $\text{id}: L^2 \rightarrow L^2$. Although the sublevel set $\{x \in L^2 : \text{id}(x) \leq a\}$ is $\sigma(L^2, L^2)$ -closed for each $a \in \bar{L}^0$, the identity map id is not σ_s -lower semi-continuous.

Indeed, fix $V_{(y_m)_{1 \leq m \leq n}}^r(0) \in \mathcal{V}(0)$, and notice that

$$\sup_{1 \leq m \leq n} |\langle x, y_m \rangle| \leq \|x\| \sup_{1 \leq m \leq n} \|y_m\| \quad \text{for all } x \in L_s^0(L^2)$$

by (3). We can assume that $r / \sup_{1 \leq m \leq n} \|y_m\| \geq \tilde{r}$ for a constant $\tilde{r} > 0$, otherwise we partition $(0, 1] = \bigcup_k A_k$ and carry out the following argument on each A_k . Then, there exists a sequence (c_l) in $\mathbb{R}$ which converges to $+\infty$ such that

$$x_l = \sum_{k=1}^l x_l^k 1_{(\frac{k-1}{l}, \frac{k}{l}]} \in V_{(y_m)_{1 \leq m \leq n}}^r(0) \cap L_s^0(L^2) \quad \text{for all } l \in \mathbb{N},$$

where $x_l^k = -c_l 1_{(\frac{k-1}{l}, \frac{k}{l}]}$ for all $1 \leq k \leq l$. On the other hand, $\text{id}_s(x_l) = -c_l$ for all $l \in \mathbb{N}$, and therefore it follows that

$$\text{id}(0) = 0 > -\infty = \sup_{V \in \mathcal{V}(0)} \inf \{ \text{id}_s(\tilde{x}) : \tilde{x} \in V \cap L_s^0(L^2) \}.$$

In particular, the identity id does not have an extension in the sense of Theorem 2.7 below.

2.3. Extension result

Our goal is to extend a σ_s -lower semi-continuous function $f: X \rightarrow \bar{L}^0$ to a stable function $F: L^0(X) \rightarrow \bar{L}^0$. We need the following definitions.

Definition 2.6. A function $F: L^0(X) \rightarrow \bar{L}^0$ is called

- (i) *stable*, if $F(\sum_k x_k 1_{A_k}) = \sum_k F(x_k) 1_{A_k}$ for every sequence (x_k) in $L^0(X)$ and each partition (A_k) of Ω ,
- (ii) *σ_s -lower semi-continuous*, if $F(x) \leq \liminf_\alpha F(x_\alpha)$ for every stable net (x_α) in $L^0(X)$ converging to $x \in L^0(X)$,
- (iii) *L^0 -linear*, if F is L^0 -valued and $F(\lambda x + \tilde{x}) = \lambda F(x) + F(\tilde{x})$ for all $x, \tilde{x} \in L^0(X)$ and $\lambda \in L^0$,
- (iv) *L^0 -proper convex*, if $F(x) > -\infty$ for all $x \in L^0(X)$ and $F(x') \in L^0$ for some $x' \in L^0(X)$, as well as $F(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)\tilde{x}) \leq \lambda F(x) + (1 - \lambda)F(\tilde{x})$ for every $\lambda \in L^0$ with $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ and all $x, \tilde{x} \in L^0(X)$.

Next, we state the main extension result.

Theorem 2.7. *For every σ_s -lower semi-continuous function $f: X \rightarrow \bar{L}^0$ there exists a stable, σ_s -lower semi-continuous function $F: L^0(X) \rightarrow \bar{L}^0$ which satisfies $F|_X = f$. Moreover, if f is proper convex, then this extension can be chosen L^0 -proper convex.*

Proof. Define $F(x) := \sup_{V \in \mathcal{V}(x)} \inf\{f_s(\tilde{x}): \tilde{x} \in V \cap L_s^0(X)\}$, $x \in L^0(X)$.

Notice that for every $x \in L^0(X)$ and $V_{(y_m)_{1 \leq m \leq n}}^r(x) \in \mathcal{V}(x)$ it follows from (3) that

$$\sup_{1 \leq m \leq n} |\langle x_k - x, y_m \rangle| \leq \|x_k - x\| \sup_{1 \leq m \leq n} \|y_m\| \rightarrow 0$$

for every sequence (x_k) in $L_s^0(X)$ such that $x_k \rightarrow x$ a.e., which shows that

$$V_{(y_m)_{1 \leq m \leq n}}^r(x) \cap L_s^0(X) \neq \emptyset.$$

Hence, F is a well-defined stable function since f_s is a stable function on the stable set $V \cap L_s^0(X)$. Moreover, it follows from Proposition 2.4 that F is an extension of f . That F satisfies the desired properties is shown in the following two steps.

Step 1. We show that F is σ_s -lower semi-continuous. Fix $x \in L^0(X)$ and $\varepsilon \in L_{++}^0$. There exists $V = V_{(y_m)_{1 \leq m \leq n}}^r(x)$ in $\mathcal{V}(x)$ such that

$$\arctan(F(x)) - \varepsilon \leq \inf\{\arctan(f_s(\tilde{x})): \tilde{x} \in V \cap L_s^0(X)\}.$$

Fix $z \in V_{(y_m)_{1 \leq m \leq n}}^{r/2}(x)$. For $\tilde{V} = V_{(y_m)_{1 \leq m \leq n}}^{r/2}(z) \in \mathcal{V}(z)$ it follows from the triangle inequality that $\tilde{V} \subseteq V$. Since $\tilde{V} \cap L_s^0(X) \subseteq V \cap L_s^0(X)$, we obtain

$$\arctan(F(x)) - \varepsilon \leq \inf\{\arctan(f_s(\tilde{z})): \tilde{z} \in \tilde{V} \cap L_s^0(X)\}$$

so that

$$\arctan(F(x)) - \varepsilon \leq \arctan(F(z)).$$

This shows that for every $\varepsilon \in L_{++}^0$ there exists $V^\varepsilon \in \mathcal{V}(x)$ such that $\arctan(F(x)) - \varepsilon \leq \arctan(F(z))$ for all $z \in V^\varepsilon$. Hence

$$\arctan(F(x)) - \varepsilon \leq \sup_{V \in \mathcal{V}(x)} \inf\{\arctan(F(z)): z \in V\}.$$

By letting $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$, and since $\sup_{V \in \mathcal{V}(x)} \inf\{\arctan(F(z)): z \in V\} \leq \arctan(F(x))$ is trivially satisfied, it follows from the strict monotonicity of $\arctan$ that

$$F(x) = \sup_{V \in \mathcal{V}(x)} \inf\{F(z): z \in V\}.$$

In particular, $F(x) \leq \liminf_\alpha F(x_\alpha)$ for every stable net (x_α) in $L^0(X)$ which converges to $x \in L^0(X)$.

Step 2. We show that F is L^0 -proper convex when f is proper convex. Since F is an extension of f there exists $x' \in X \subset L^0(X)$ such that $F(x') = f(x') \in L^0$.

Note that for every $\lambda \in L_s^0(\mathbb{R})$ with $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ it follows from convexity

$$f_s(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)z) \leq \lambda f_s(x) + (1 - \lambda)f_s(z), \quad \text{for all } x, z \in L_s^0(X).$$

Fix $x, z \in L^0(X)$ and $\lambda \in L_s^0(\mathbb{R})$ with $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$. Let

$$V = V_{(y_m)_{1 \leq m \leq n}}^r(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)z) \in \mathcal{V}(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)z),$$

$$W = V_{(y_m)_{1 \leq m \leq n}}^r(x) \in \mathcal{V}(x), \quad \text{and} \quad W' = V_{(y_m)_{1 \leq m \leq n}}^r(z) \in \mathcal{V}(z).$$

For $\tilde{x} \in W \cap L_s^0(X)$ and $\tilde{z} \in W' \cap L_s^0(X)$ it follows that $\lambda\tilde{x} + (1 - \lambda)\tilde{z}$ is in $V \cap L_s^0(X)$, which shows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \inf\{f_s(\tilde{x}) : \tilde{x} \in V \cap L_s^0(X)\} \\ & \leq \inf\{f_s(\lambda\tilde{x} + (1 - \lambda)\tilde{z}) : \tilde{x} \in W \cap L_s^0(X), \tilde{z} \in W' \cap L_s^0(X)\} \\ & \leq \inf\{\lambda f_s(\tilde{x}) + (1 - \lambda)f_s(\tilde{z}) : \tilde{x} \in W \cap L_s^0(X), \tilde{z} \in W' \cap L_s^0(X)\} \\ & = \lambda \inf\{f_s(\tilde{x}) : \tilde{x} \in W \cap L_s^0(X)\} + (1 - \lambda) \inf\{f_s(\tilde{z}) : \tilde{z} \in W' \cap L_s^0(X)\}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the convention $-\infty + \infty = +\infty$. Therefore we have for every $V \in \mathcal{V}(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)z)$ there exist $W \in \mathcal{V}(x)$ and $W' \in \mathcal{V}(z)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \inf\{f_s(\tilde{x}) : \tilde{x} \in V \cap L_s^0(X)\} \\ & \leq \lambda \inf\{f_s(\tilde{x}) : \tilde{x} \in W \cap L_s^0(X)\} + (1 - \lambda) \inf\{f_s(\tilde{z}) : \tilde{z} \in W' \cap L_s^0(X)\}. \end{aligned}$$

By taking the supremum on both sides of the previous inequality, one obtains

$$F(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)z) \leq \lambda F(x) + (1 - \lambda)F(z).$$

The last inequality also holds for $\lambda \in L^0$ with $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ by approximating λ with step functions in $L_s^0(\mathbb{R})$ and using the σ_s -lower semi-continuity of F .

By way of contradiction, suppose there exist $x \in L^0(X)$ and $A \in \mathcal{F}$ with $\mu(A) > 0$ such that $F(x) = -\infty$ on A . Let $x_0 \in L^0(X)$ with $F(x_0) \in L^0$. From L^0 -convexity we have $F(\lambda x_0 + (1 - \lambda)x) = -\infty$ on A for all $0 \leq \lambda < 1$. Since $\lambda x_0 + (1 - \lambda)x$ converges to x_0 as λ tends to 1, it follows from σ_s -lower semi-continuity that $F(x_0) = -\infty$ on A which is a contradiction. $\square$

3. Fenchel-Moreau type duality for vector-valued functions

We consider the setting of the previous section. Let $(X, Y, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a dual pair of Banach spaces such that $|\langle x, y \rangle| \leq \|x\| \|y\|$ for all $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$, and both norm-closed unit balls are weakly closed. Recall that $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ extends to $L^0(X) \times L^0(Y)$ with values in L^0 , and satisfies $|\langle x, y \rangle| \leq \|x\| \|y\|$ for all $x \in L^0(X)$ and $y \in L^0(Y)$. The next result shows that $(L^0(X), L^0(Y), \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is an L^0 -dual pair.

Lemma 3.1. *The functions*

$$y \mapsto \langle x, \cdot \rangle : L^0(Y) \rightarrow L^0 \quad \text{and} \quad x \mapsto \langle \cdot, y \rangle : L^0(X) \rightarrow L^0$$

are stable and L^0 -linear for all $x \in L^0(X)$ and $y \in L^0(Y)$.

Moreover, for every $x \in L^0(X)$ with $\mu(x = 0) = 0$ there exists $y \in L^0(Y)$ such that $\mu(\langle x, y \rangle = 0) = 0$, and symmetrically, for every $y \in L^0(Y)$ with $\mu(y = 0) = 0$ there exists $x \in L^0(X)$ such that $\mu(\langle x, y \rangle = 0) = 0$.

Proof. We only show the separation argument. To that end, fix $x \in L^0(X)$ with $\mu(x = 0) = 0$. Then there exists $r \in L^0_{++}$ with $r = \sum_k r_k 1_{A_k}$ for a sequence (r_k) in $(0, \infty)$ and a partition (A_k) of Ω such that $0 1_A \notin C_{3r}(x) 1_A$ for all $A \in \mathcal{F}$ with $\mu(A) > 0$, where $C_{3r}(x) := \{\tilde{x} \in L^0(X) : \|\tilde{x} - x\| \leq 3r\}$. Further, there exists $x^s \in L^0_s(X)$ such that $\|x - x^s\| \leq r$. By the triangle inequality, $0 1_A \notin C_{2r}(x^s) 1_A$ for all $A \in \mathcal{F}$ with $\mu(A) > 0$. We can assume that x^s and r are defined on the same partition, i.e. $x^s = \sum_k x^s_k 1_{A_k}$, by changing if necessary to a common refinement. Then it holds

$$C_{2r}(x^s) = \{\tilde{x} \in L^0(X) : \tilde{x} 1_{A_k} \in C_{2r_k}(x^s_k) 1_{A_k} \text{ for all } k\}.$$

Since $C_{2r_k}^X(x^s_k) := \{\tilde{x} \in X : \|\tilde{x} - x^s_k\| \leq 2r_k\}$ is $\sigma(X, Y)$ -closed in X , by strong separation there exist $y_k \in Y \setminus \{0\}$ and a constant $\delta_k > 0$ such that

$$\inf_{\tilde{x} \in C_{2r_k}^X(x^s_k)} \langle \tilde{x}, y_k \rangle \geq \delta_k > 0$$

for all k . It follows that

$$\inf_{\tilde{x} \in C_r(x^s)} \langle \tilde{x}, y \rangle \geq \delta > 0, \quad (4)$$

where $y := \sum_k y_k 1_{A_k}$ and $\delta := \sum_k \delta_k 1_{A_k}$. Indeed, let $\tilde{x} \in C_r(x^s)$ and $(\tilde{x}_n)$ be a stable sequence in $C_r(\tilde{x}) \cap L^0_s(X)$ with $\|\tilde{x}_n - \tilde{x}\| \rightarrow 0$ a.e.. We have $\|\tilde{x}_l^n - x^s_k\| \leq 2r_k$ whenever $\mu(A_k \cap B_l^n) > 0$, where $\tilde{x}_n = \sum_l \tilde{x}_l^n 1_{B_l^n}$. From the stability of the extended duality pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ we obtain

$$\langle \tilde{x}_n, y \rangle = \sum_{k,l} \langle \tilde{x}_l^n, y_k \rangle 1_{A_k \cap B_l^n} \geq \delta > 0,$$

so that $\langle \tilde{x}, y \rangle = \langle \tilde{x} - \tilde{x}_n, y \rangle + \langle \tilde{x}_n, y \rangle \geq \langle \tilde{x} - \tilde{x}_n, y \rangle + \delta \rightarrow \delta > 0$, which shows (4). Since $x \in C_r(x^s)$ we conclude $\mu(\langle x, y \rangle = 0) = 0$. $\square$

In view of the previous result conditional functional analysis becomes applicable. By an adaptation of the classical results for dual pairs, it follows from the conditional fundamental theorem of duality (see e.g. [12, Corollary 4.48] in the setting of conditional set theory; for an adaptation to the present L^0 -setting, see [11, Proposition 6.6]) that every $\sigma_s(L^0(X), L^0(Y))$ -continuous, L^0 -linear function $h : L^0(X) \rightarrow L^0$ is of the form $\langle \cdot, y \rangle$ for some $y \in L^0(Y)$. In particular, the L^0 -dual space of $(L^0(X), \sigma_s(L^0(X), L^0(Y)))$ can be identified with $L^0(Y)$. As a consequence, an application of a conditional version of the Fenchel-Moreau theorem, see e.g. [11, Theorem 6.3.], yields that every L^0 -proper convex, stable, σ_s -lower semi-continuous function $F : L^0(X) \rightarrow \bar{L}^0$ has the dual representation

$$F(x) = \sup_{y \in L^0(Y)} \{\langle x, y \rangle - F^*(y)\}, \quad x \in L^0(X), \quad (5)$$

for the L^0 -convex conjugate $F^*(y) := \sup_{x \in L^0(X)} \{\langle x, y \rangle - F(x)\}$ for all $y \in L^0(Y)$.

Now we are ready to state our main result.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $f: X \rightarrow \bar{L}^0$ be a proper convex function. Then f is σ_s -lower semi-continuous if and only if it has the representation*

$$f(x) = \sup_{y \in L^0(Y)} \{\langle x, y \rangle - f^*(y)\} \quad \text{for all } x \in X, \quad (6)$$

where $f^*: L^0(Y) \rightarrow \bar{L}^0$ is given by

$$f^*(y) := \sup_{x \in X} \{\langle x, y \rangle - f(x)\}.$$

Proof. Suppose that f is σ_s -lower semi-continuous. It follows from Theorem 2.7 that there exists an L^0 -proper convex σ_s -lower semi-continuous extension $F: L^0(X) \rightarrow \bar{L}^0$. By (5), one obtains

$$F(x) = \sup_{y \in L^0(Y)} \{\langle x, y \rangle - F^*(y)\}, \quad x \in L^0(X),$$

for the L^0 -convex conjugate

$$F^*(y) = \sup_{x \in L^0(X)} \{\langle x, y \rangle - F(x)\}, \quad y \in L^0(Y).$$

Since

$$f^*(y) = \sup_{x \in X} \{\langle x, y \rangle - f(x)\} \leq \sup_{x \in L^0(X)} \{\langle x, y \rangle - F(x)\} = F^*(y) \quad (7)$$

and $\langle x, y \rangle - f^*(y) \leq f(x)$ for all $y \in L^0(Y)$, one has for all $x \in X$

$$f(x) = F(x) = \sup_{y \in L^0(Y)} \{\langle x, y \rangle - F^*(y)\} \leq \sup_{y \in L^0(Y)} \{\langle x, y \rangle - f^*(y)\} \leq f(x).$$

Conversely, suppose that f satisfies the representation (6). Let (x_α) be a stable net in $L_s^0(X)$ which converges to x . Since $\tilde{x} \mapsto \langle \tilde{x}, y \rangle$ is σ_s -lower semi-continuous on $L_s^0(X)$ for all $y \in L^0(Y)$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= \sup_{y \in L^0(Y)} \{\langle x, y \rangle - f^*(y)\} \\ &\leq \liminf_{\alpha} \sup_{y \in L^0(Y)} \{\langle x_\alpha, y \rangle - f^*(y)\} = \liminf_{\alpha} f_s(x_\alpha), \end{aligned}$$

where in the last equality we used that (6) also holds for f_s on $L_s^0(X)$ by stability. This shows that f is σ_s -lower semi-continuous. $\square$

Remark 3.3. Let $f: X \rightarrow \bar{L}^0$ be a proper convex, σ_s -lower semi-continuous function. Then the extension F in Theorem 2.7 is *maximal* in the sense that $G \leq F$ for every L^0 -proper convex, σ_s -lower semi-continuous extension G of f . In fact, we have $F^* \leq G^*$ by the same argumentation as in (7), and therefore

$$F(x) = \sup_{y \in L^0(Y)} \{\langle x, y \rangle - F^*(y)\} \geq \sup_{y \in L^0(Y)} \{\langle x, y \rangle - G^*(y)\} = G(x), \quad (8)$$

where the last equality follows from (5).

References

- [1] R. Bot, S. Grad: Duality for vector optimization problems via a general scalarization, *Optimization* 60 (2011) 1269–1290.
- [2] R. Bot, S. Grad, G. Wanka: *Duality in Vector Optimization*, Springer, Berlin et al. (2009).
- [3] S. Drapeau, A. Jamneshan, M. Karliczek, M. Kupper: The algebra of conditional sets, and the concepts of conditional topology and compactness, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 437(1) (2016) 561–589.
- [4] D. Filipović, M. Kupper, N. Vogelpoth: Separation and duality in locally L^0 -convex modules, *J. Funct. Anal.* 256 (2009) 3996–4029.
- [5] T. Guo: Relations between some basic results derived from two kinds of topologies for a random locally convex module, *J. Funct. Anal.* 258 (2010) 3024–3047.
- [6] A.H. Hamel: A duality theory for set-valued functions. I: Fenchel conjugation theory, *Set-Valued Var. Anal.* 17 (2009) 153–182.
- [7] A.H. Hamel, A. Löhne: Lagrange duality in set optimization, *J. Optim. Theory Appl.* 161(2) (2014) 368–397.
- [8] F. Heyde, A. Löhne: Geometric duality in multiple objective linear programming, *SIAM J. Optim.* 19 (2008) 836–845.
- [9] S.-M. Grad, A. Jamneshan: A perturbational duality approach in vector optimization, *arXiv: 1807.02666* (2018).
- [10] J. Jahn: *Vector Optimization*, Springer, Berlin et al. (2004).
- [11] A. Jamneshan, J. M. Zapata: On compactness in L^0 -modules, preprint (2017).
- [12] M. Karliczek: Elements of conditional optimization and their applications to order theory, Ph.D. Thesis, Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin (2014).
- [13] S. Koshi, N. Komuro: A generalization of the Fenchel-Moreau theorem, *Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci.* 59(5) (1983) 78–181.
- [14] A. G. Kusraev, S. S. Kutateladze: *Boolean Valued Analysis, Mathematics and its Applications*, Springer Netherlands (2012).
- [15] A. G. Kusraev, S. S. Kutateladze: Kantorovich spaces and optimization, *J. Math. Sci. (N.Y.)* 133(4) (2006) 1449–1455.
- [16] S. S. Kutateladze: Convex analysis in modules, *Sib. Math. J.* 22(4) (1981) 118–128.
- [17] A. Löhne, C. Tammer: A new approach to duality in vector optimization, *Optimization* 56(1-2) (2007) 221–239.
- [18] J. Orihuela, J. M. Zapata: Stability in locally L^0 -convex modules and a conditional version of James’ compactness theorem, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 452(2) (2017) 1101–1127.
- [19] J. M. Zapata: Versions of Eberlein-Šmulian and Amir-Lindenstrauss theorems in the framework of conditional sets, *Appl. Anal. Discrete Math.* 10(2) (2016) 231–261.
- [20] J. Zowe: A duality theorem for a convex programming problem in order complete vector lattices, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 50 (1975) 273–287.