

A-Numerical Radius of Semi-Hilbert Space Operators

Messaoud Guesba

*Faculty of Exact Sciences, Department of Mathematics, El Oued University, Algeria
guesba-messaoud@univ-eloued.dz, guesbamessaoud2@gmail.com*

Pintu Bhunia

*Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Science, Bengaluru, Karnataka, India
pintubhunia5206@gmail.com*

Kallol Paul

*Department of Mathematics, Jadavpur University, Kolkata, West Bengal, India
kalloldada@gmail.com, kallol.paul@jadavpuruniversity.in*

Received: May 13, 2022

Accepted: May 24, 2023

Let $\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & A \end{pmatrix}$ be a 2×2 diagonal operator matrix whose each diagonal entry is a positive bounded linear operator A acting on a complex Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. Let T, S and R be bounded linear operators on $\mathcal{H}$ admitting A -adjoints, where T and R are A -positive. By considering an $\mathbf{A}$ -positive 2×2 operator matrix $\begin{pmatrix} T & S^{\sharp_A} \\ S & R \end{pmatrix}$, we develop several upper bounds for the A -numerical radius of S . Applying these upper bounds we obtain new A -numerical radius bounds for the product and the sum of arbitrary operators which admit A -adjoints. Related other inequalities are also derived.

Keywords: A -numerical radius, positive operator, seminorm, semi-inner product.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 47A05, 47A12, 47A30, 47B15.

1. Introduction and preliminaries

Let $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ denote the C^* -algebra of all bounded linear operators acting on a non trivial complex Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ with inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and associated norm $\|\cdot\|$. Let the symbol I stand for the identity operator on $\mathcal{H}$. Let $\mathbb{N}$ be the set of all natural numbers.

For every operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, $\mathcal{N}(T)$, $\mathcal{R}(T)$ and $\overline{\mathcal{R}(T)}$ stand respectively for the null space, the range and the closure of the range of T .

For $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, its *adjoint* is denoted by T^* . An operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is called *positive* if $\langle Tx, x \rangle \geq 0$ for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$. We will write $T \geq 0$ if T is a positive operator.

Moreover, the square root of every positive operator T will be denoted by $T^{1/2}$. Let $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^+$ be the cone of positive (semi-definite) operators, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^+ = \{T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) : \langle Tx, x \rangle \geq 0, \forall x \in \mathcal{H}\}.$$

Any positive operator $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^+$ defines a semi-inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_A$, induced by A and is given by

$$\langle x, y \rangle_A = \langle Ax, y \rangle, \forall x, y \in \mathcal{H}.$$

The seminorm $\|\cdot\|_A$ induced by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_A$ is given by

$$\|x\|_A = \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle_A} = \|A^{1/2}x\|, \forall x \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Observe that $\|x\|_A = 0$ if and only if $x \in \mathcal{N}(A)$. Then $\|\cdot\|_A$ is a norm on $\mathcal{H}$ if and only if A is an injective operator and the semi-normed space $(\mathcal{H}, \|\cdot\|_A)$ is complete if and only if $\mathcal{R}(A)$ is closed. Given $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ if there exists $c > 0$ satisfying $\|Tx\|_A \leq c\|x\|_A, \forall x \in \overline{\mathcal{R}(A)}$ then

$$\|T\|_A := \sup_{\substack{x \in \overline{\mathcal{R}(A)} \\ x \neq 0}} \frac{\|Tx\|_A}{\|x\|_A} = \sup_{\substack{x \in \overline{\mathcal{R}(A)} \\ \|x\|_A=1}} \|Tx\|_A < \infty.$$

From now on, we reserve the symbol A for a non-zero positive operator in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and we denote

$$\mathcal{B}^A(\mathcal{H}) = \{T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) : \|T\|_A < \infty\}.$$

It can be seen that $\mathcal{B}^A(\mathcal{H})$ is not a subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\|T\|_A = 0$ if and only if $T^*AT = 0$. Moreover, for $T \in \mathcal{B}^A(\mathcal{H})$ we have

$$\|T\|_A = \sup \left\{ |\langle Tx, y \rangle_A| : x, y \in \overline{\mathcal{R}(A)} \text{ and } \|x\|_A = \|y\|_A = 1 \right\}.$$

For $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, an operator $S \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is called an *A-adjoint* of T if for every $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$

$$\langle Tx, y \rangle_A = \langle x, Sy \rangle_A,$$

i.e., $AS = T^*A$. T is called *A-selfadjoint* if $AT = T^*A$, and it is called *A-positive* if AT is positive. For A -positive operator T , we write $T \geq_A 0$. The existence of an A -adjoint operator is not guaranteed. The set of all operators which admit A -adjoints is denoted by $\mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$. By the Douglas theorem [18], we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H}) &= \{T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) : \mathcal{R}(T^*A) \subset \mathcal{R}(A)\} \\ &= \{T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) : \exists c > 0 \text{ such that } \|ATx\| \leq c\|Ax\|, \forall x \in \mathcal{H}\}. \end{aligned}$$

If $T \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$ then T admits A -adjoint operators. Moreover, there exists a distinguished A -adjoint operator of T , namely the reduced solution of the equation $AX = T^*A$, i.e., $T^{\sharp A} = A^\dagger T^*A$, where $A^\dagger$ is the *Moore-Penrose inverse* of A . The A -adjoint operator $T^{\sharp A}$ satisfies

$$AT^{\sharp A} = T^*A, \mathcal{R}(T^{\sharp A}) \subset \overline{\mathcal{R}(A)} \text{ and } \mathcal{N}(T^{\sharp A}) = \mathcal{N}(T^*A).$$

Again, by applying Douglas' theorem, we can see that

$$\mathcal{B}_{A^{1/2}}(\mathcal{H}) = \{T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) : \exists c > 0; \|Tx\|_A \leq c\|x\|_A, \forall x \in \mathcal{H}\}.$$

Operators in $\mathcal{B}_{A^{1/2}}(\mathcal{H})$ are called *A-bounded* operators. Moreover if $T \in \mathcal{B}_{A^{1/2}}(\mathcal{H})$,

$$\text{then } \|T\|_A := \sup_{\substack{x \in \mathcal{H} \\ Ax \neq 0}} \frac{\|Tx\|_A}{\|x\|_A} = \sup_{\substack{x \in \mathcal{H} \\ \|x\|_A=1}} \|Tx\|_A.$$

In addition, if T is A -bounded, then $T(\mathcal{N}(A)) \subset \mathcal{N}(A)$ and

$$\|Tx\|_A \leq \|T\|_A \|x\|_A, \forall x \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Note that $\mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$ and $\mathcal{B}_{A^{1/2}}(\mathcal{H})$ are two subalgebras of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ which are neither closed nor dense in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ (see [4]). Moreover, the following inclusions

$$\mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H}) \subset \mathcal{B}_{A^{1/2}}(\mathcal{H}) \subset \mathcal{B}^A(\mathcal{H}) \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}),$$

hold with equality if A is injective and has a closed range. For more details see, e.g., [5, 6, 24, 30, 31]. Observe that if T is A -selfadjoint then it does not necessarily

imply that $T = T^{\sharp A}$. For example, if $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{C})^+$ and $T = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ then T is A -selfadjoint, but $T^{\sharp A} = A^\dagger T^* A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \neq T$. In fact, $T = T^{\sharp A}$ if and only if T is A -selfadjoint and $\mathcal{R}(T) \subset \overline{\mathcal{R}(A)}$.

Now, we note some properties of $T^{\sharp A}$ and its relationship with the seminorm $\|\cdot\|_A$. Let $T \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$ and let $P_{\overline{\mathcal{R}(A)}}$ stand for the orthogonal projection onto $\overline{\mathcal{R}(A)}$. Then the following results hold, proof of which can be found in [4].

- (1) If $AT = TA$ then $T^{\sharp A} = P_{\overline{\mathcal{R}(A)}} T^*$.
- (2) $T^{\sharp A} \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$, $\left(T^{\sharp A}\right)^{\sharp A} = P_{\overline{\mathcal{R}(A)}} T P_{\overline{\mathcal{R}(A)}}$ and $\left(\left(T^{\sharp A}\right)^{\sharp A}\right)^{\sharp A} = T^{\sharp A}$.
- (3) $T^{\sharp A} T$ and $TT^{\sharp A}$ are A -selfadjoint and A -positive.
- (4) If $S \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$ then $TS \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$ and $(TS)^{\sharp A} = S^{\sharp A} T^{\sharp A}$.
- (5) $\|T\|_A = \left\|T^{\sharp A}\right\|_A = \left\|T^{\sharp A} T\right\|_A^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left\|TT^{\sharp A}\right\|_A^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

Recall that the *numerical radius* of $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is defined by

$$\omega(T) = \sup \{ |\langle Tx, x \rangle| : x \in \mathcal{H}, \|x\| = 1 \}.$$

This concept is useful in studying linear operators and has attracted the attention of many authors in the last few decades (e.g., see [12, 16, 20]). It is well known that $\omega(\cdot)$ defines a norm on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Moreover, for all $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \|T\| \leq \omega(T) \leq \|T\|. \quad (1)$$

Some interesting numerical radius inequalities improving inequalities (1) have been obtained by several mathematicians (see, e.g., [1, 2, 8, 13, 14, 19–21, 33]). The concept of the classical numerical radius was generalized to the A -numerical radius as follows:

$$\omega_A(T) = \sup \{ |\langle Tx, x \rangle_A| : x \in \mathcal{H}, \|x\|_A = 1 \}.$$

It follows that $\omega_A(T) = \omega_A(T^{\sharp A})$ for any $T \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$. A fundamental inequality for the A -numerical radius is the power inequality (see [27, 28]) which says that for $T \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$,

$$\omega_A(T^n) \leq \omega_A^n(T), \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

It may happen that $\omega_A(T) = +\infty$ for some $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Indeed, one can take the following operators $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Further, A -numerical radius is a seminorm on $\mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$ and that for every $T \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$,

$$\frac{1}{2} \|T\|_A \leq \omega_A(T) \leq \|T\|_A. \quad (2)$$

Moreover, it is known that if T is A -selfadjoint, then $\omega_A(T) = \|T\|_A$. For proofs and more facts about A -numerical radius of operators, we refer the readers to [23, 30, 34]. We consider the 2×2 operator diagonal matrix

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & A \end{pmatrix}.$$

Clearly, $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H})^+$. So, $\mathbf{A}$ induces the following semi-inner product

$$\langle x, y \rangle_{\mathbf{A}} = \langle \mathbf{A}x, y \rangle = \langle x_1, y_1 \rangle_A + \langle x_2, y_2 \rangle_A,$$

for all $x = (x_1, x_2), y = (y_1, y_2) \in \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}$. Note that if T_{ij} are operators in $\mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$ for all $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$, then it was shown in [9] that $(T_{ij})_{2 \times 2} \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H})$ and

$$\begin{pmatrix} T_{11} & T_{12} \\ T_{21} & T_{22} \end{pmatrix}^{\sharp_{\mathbf{A}}} = \begin{pmatrix} T_{11}^{\sharp_A} & T_{21}^{\sharp_A} \\ T_{12}^{\sharp_A} & T_{22}^{\sharp_A} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Recently, several inequalities for the $\mathbf{A}$ -numerical radius of 2×2 operator matrices have been established by many authors (see [15, 29, 32]). In 2020, Bhunia et al. [15] studied $\mathbf{A}$ -numerical radius inequalities of 2×2 operator matrices by taking the positive operator diagonal matrix $\mathbf{A} = \text{diag}(A, A)$. In this direction some authors have studied many generalizations and refinements of A -numerical radius, for more details one can refer to [10, 14, 23]. This motivates us to further study on this topic. In this article, we develop several new inequalities involving seminorm and A -numerical radius of operators in $\mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$. In particular, we obtain some upper bounds for the A -numerical radius of $S \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$ in terms of seminorm of A -positive operators T and R assuming that $\begin{pmatrix} T & S^{\sharp_A} \\ S & R \end{pmatrix}$ is $\mathbf{A}$ -positive. Several applications of these bounds are also given. Applying these bounds we obtain upper bounds for the A -numerical radius of the product and sum of operators in $\mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$.

2. Main results

We begin this section with the following lemmas. The first lemma can be found in [15, 22].

Lemma 2.1. *Let $T, S \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$. Then the following assertions hold:*

- (i) $\omega_{\mathbf{A}} \begin{pmatrix} T & 0 \\ 0 & S \end{pmatrix} = \max \{ \omega_A(T), \omega_A(S) \}.$
- (ii) $\omega_{\mathbf{A}} \begin{pmatrix} T & S \\ S & T \end{pmatrix} = \max \{ \omega_A(T + S), \omega_A(T - S) \}.$

In particular, $\omega_{\mathbf{A}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & S \\ S & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \omega_A(S)$.

$$(iii) \quad \left\| \begin{pmatrix} T & 0 \\ 0 & S \end{pmatrix} \right\|_{\mathbf{A}} = \max \{ \|T\|_A, \|S\|_A \}.$$

An operator $U \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$ is said to be *A-unitary* if $\|Ux\|_A = \|U^{\sharp_A}x\|_A = \|x\|_A$ for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$. It is easy to see that $U^{\sharp_A}U = U^{\sharp_A}U^{\sharp_A}U^{\sharp_A} = P_{\overline{\mathcal{R}(A)}}$ if U is *A-unitary*. Now, we prove the second lemma.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $T, S \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$. Then*

$$(i) \quad \left\| \begin{pmatrix} T & S \\ S & T \end{pmatrix} \right\|_{\mathbf{A}} = \max \{ \|T + S\|_A, \|T - S\|_A \}.$$

$$(ii) \quad \max \{ \|T + S\|_A^2, \|T - S\|_A^2 \} \leq \|T\|_A^2 + \|S\|_A^2 + \|T\|_A \|S\|_A + \omega_A(S^{\sharp_A}T).$$

Proof. (i) Let $U = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} I & I \\ -I & I \end{pmatrix}$ and $W = \begin{pmatrix} T & S \\ S & T \end{pmatrix}$. A simple calculation shows

$$U^{\sharp_A}WU = \begin{pmatrix} P_{\overline{\mathcal{R}(A)}} & 0 \\ 0 & P_{\overline{\mathcal{R}(A)}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} T - S & 0 \\ 0 & T + S \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now, using $\|U^{\sharp_A}WU\|_{\mathbf{A}} = \|W\|_{\mathbf{A}}$ and Lemma 2.1(iii), we get

$$\left\| \begin{pmatrix} T & S \\ S & T \end{pmatrix} \right\|_{\mathbf{A}} = \left\| \begin{pmatrix} T - S & 0 \\ 0 & T + S \end{pmatrix} \right\|_{\mathbf{A}} = \max \{ \|T + S\|_A, \|T - S\|_A \}.$$

(ii) The proof follows easily from [11, Th. 5] by using (i) and Lemma 2.1. $\square$

We are now in a position to present our first theorem, which gives a necessary condition for the equality $\|T + S\|_A = \|T\|_A + \|S\|_A$.

Theorem 2.3. *Let $T, S \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$. If $\|T + S\|_A = \|T\|_A + \|S\|_A$, then*

$$\omega_A(S^{\sharp_A}T) = \|T\|_A \|S\|_A.$$

Proof. If $\|T + S\|_A = \|T\|_A + \|S\|_A$, then by using Lemma 2.2 (ii), we get,

$$\|T\|_A^2 + \|S\|_A^2 + 2\|T\|_A \|S\|_A \leq \|T\|_A^2 + \|S\|_A^2 + \|T\|_A \|S\|_A + \omega_A(S^{\sharp_A}T).$$

This implies that $\|T\|_A \|S\|_A \leq \omega_A(S^{\sharp_A}T) \leq \|S^{\sharp_A}T\|_A \leq \|S^{\sharp_A}\|_A \|T\|_A$.

Consequently, we obtain that $\omega_A(S^{\sharp_A}T) = \|T\|_A \|S\|_A$. $\square$

Observe that the necessary condition in Theorem 2.3 is not sufficient. To see this, consider $T = I$ and $S = -I$. In order to prove our next result, we need the following three well-known lemmas.

Lemma 2.4. [25, p. 26] Let $a, b \geq 0$ and $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$. Then, for all $r \geq 1$,

$$a^\alpha b^{1-\alpha} \leq \alpha a + (1 - \alpha) b \leq (\alpha a^r + (1 - \alpha) b^r)^{\frac{1}{r}}.$$

Lemma 2.5. Let $T, S, R \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$ be such that T and R are A -positive operators.

Then, $\begin{pmatrix} T & S^{\sharp_A} \\ S & R \end{pmatrix}$ is $\mathbf{A}$ -positive in $\mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H})$ if and only if

$$|\langle Sx, y \rangle_A|^2 \leq \langle Tx, x \rangle_A \langle Ry, y \rangle_A \quad \text{for all } x, y \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Proof. First we see that for any $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$ and for every $X \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$ with $X \geq_A 0$,

$$|\langle Xx, y \rangle_A|^2 \leq \langle Xx, x \rangle_A \langle Xy, y \rangle_A. \quad (3)$$

As $AX \geq 0$ we obtain

$$|\langle Xx, y \rangle_A|^2 = |\langle AXx, y \rangle|^2 \leq \langle AXx, x \rangle \langle AXy, y \rangle = \langle Xx, y \rangle_A \langle Xy, y \rangle_A.$$

Now, by using the inequality (3) we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle Sx, y \rangle_A|^2 &= \left| \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} T & S^{\sharp_A} \\ S & R \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ y \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle_{\mathbf{A}} \right|^2 \\ &\leq \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} T & S^{\sharp_A} \\ S & R \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} x \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle_{\mathbf{A}} \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} T & S^{\sharp_A} \\ S & R \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ y \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ y \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle_{\mathbf{A}} \\ &= \langle Tx, x \rangle_A \langle Ry, y \rangle_A. \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, let $|\langle Sx, y \rangle_A|^2 \leq \langle Tx, x \rangle_A \langle Ry, y \rangle_A$ for all $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} T & S^{\sharp_A} \\ S & R \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle_{\mathbf{A}} &= \langle Tx, x \rangle_A + \langle Ry, y \rangle_A + 2\operatorname{Re}\langle Sx, y \rangle_A \\ &\geq 2\langle Tx, x \rangle_A^{1/2} \langle Ry, y \rangle_A^{1/2} + 2\operatorname{Re}\langle Sx, y \rangle_A \geq 2|\langle Sx, y \rangle_A| + 2\operatorname{Re}\langle Sx, y \rangle_A \\ &\geq 2|\langle Sx, y \rangle_A| - 2|\langle Sx, y \rangle_A| = 0. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

Here we note that the inequality in above lemma is also observed in [3].

Lemma 2.6. [17] Let T be an A -positive operator in $\mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$. Then, for any A -unit vector $x \in \mathcal{H}$ (i.e., $\|x\|_A = 1$),

$$\langle Tx, x \rangle_A^n \leq \langle T^n x, x \rangle_A, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*.$$

Now, we prove our next theorem.

Theorem 2.7. Let $T, S, R \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$ be such that $\begin{pmatrix} T & S^{\sharp_A} \\ S & R \end{pmatrix}$ is $\mathbf{A}$ -positive, where T and R are A -positive operators. Then

$$\omega_A^n(S) \leq \frac{1}{2} \|T^n + R^n\|_A, \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}^*.$$

Proof. Let $x \in \mathcal{H}$ with $\|x\|_A = 1$. Then from Lemma 2.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle Sx, x \rangle_A| &\leq \langle Tx, x \rangle_A^{\frac{1}{2}} \langle Rx, x \rangle_A^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \frac{1}{2} (\langle Tx, x \rangle_A + \langle Rx, x \rangle_A) \\ &\leq \left(\frac{\langle Tx, x \rangle_A^n + \langle Rx, x \rangle_A^n}{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.4}) \\ &\leq \left(\frac{\langle T^n x, x \rangle_A + \langle R^n x, x \rangle_A}{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.6}). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we infer that

$$|\langle Sx, x \rangle_A|^n \leq \frac{1}{2} \langle (T^n + R^n)x, x \rangle_A \leq \frac{1}{2} \|T^n + R^n\|_A.$$

Taking supremum over all $x \in \mathcal{H}$ with $\|x\|_A = 1$, we get the desired inequality. $\square$

Considering $n = 1$ in Theorem 2.7, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.8. *Let $T, S, R \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$ be such that $\begin{pmatrix} T & S^{\sharp_A} \\ S & R \end{pmatrix}$ is $\mathbf{A}$ -positive where T and R are A -positive operators. Then*

$$\omega_A(S) \leq \frac{1}{2} \|T + R\|_A.$$

We next obtain the following inequality.

Theorem 2.9. *Let $T, S \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$. Then*

$$\omega_A^n(ST) \leq \frac{1}{2} \left\| \left(T^{\sharp_A} T \right)^n + \left(SS^{\sharp_A} \right)^n \right\|_A, \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}^*.$$

Proof. First, we will show that $\begin{pmatrix} T^{\sharp_A} T & (ST)^{\sharp_A} \\ ST & SS^{\sharp_A} \end{pmatrix}$ is $\mathbf{A}$ -positive. We have that $T^{\sharp_A} T$ and $SS^{\sharp_A}$ are A -positive operators and we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle STx, x \rangle_A|^2 &= \left| \left\langle Tx, S^{\sharp_A} x \right\rangle_A \right|^2 \leq \|Tx\|_A^2 \|S^{\sharp_A} x\|_A^2 \\ &= \langle Tx, Tx \rangle_A \langle S^{\sharp_A} x, S^{\sharp_A} x \rangle_A = \langle T^{\sharp_A} Tx, x \rangle_A \langle SS^{\sharp_A} x, x \rangle_A, \end{aligned}$$

that is, $|\langle STx, x \rangle_A|^2 \leq \langle T^{\sharp_A} Tx, x \rangle_A \langle SS^{\sharp_A} x, x \rangle_A$ for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$.

Therefore, in view of Lemma 2.5 we conclude that $\begin{pmatrix} T^{\sharp_A} T & (ST)^{\sharp_A} \\ ST & SS^{\sharp_A} \end{pmatrix}$ is $\mathbf{A}$ -positive.

Now, by applying Theorem 2.7 we get

$$\omega_A^n(ST) \leq \frac{1}{2} \left\| \left(T^{\sharp_A} T \right)^n + \left(SS^{\sharp_A} \right)^n \right\|_A, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*.$$

This completes the proof. $\square$

Remark 2.10. In [26], it is proved that $w(TS) \leq \frac{1}{2}\|T^*T + SS^*\|$, for $T, S \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. An alternative easy proof of the same inequality follows from Theorem 2.9 by considering $A = I$ and $n = 1$.

The following corollary is an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.9 by considering $S = T$.

Corollary 2.11. *Let $T \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$. Then*

$$\omega_A^n(T^2) \leq \frac{1}{2} \left\| \left(T^{\sharp_A} T \right)^n + \left(T T^{\sharp_A} \right)^n \right\|_A, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*.$$

Another application of Theorem 2.7 leads to the following inequality.

Theorem 2.12. *Let $T, S, R, X, Y \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$ be such that $\begin{pmatrix} T & S^{\sharp_A} \\ S & R \end{pmatrix}$ is $\mathbf{A}$ -positive where T and R are A -positive operators. Then*

$$\omega_A^n(YSX) \leq \frac{1}{2} \left\| \left(X^{\sharp_A} TX \right)^n + \left(YRY^{\sharp_A} \right)^n \right\|_A, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*.$$

Proof. Since $\begin{pmatrix} T & S^{\sharp_A} \\ S & R \end{pmatrix} \geq_{\mathbf{A}} 0$, so

$$\begin{pmatrix} X & 0 \\ 0 & Y^{\sharp_A} \end{pmatrix}^{\sharp_A} \begin{pmatrix} T & S^{\sharp_A} \\ S & R \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X & 0 \\ 0 & Y^{\sharp_A} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} X^{\sharp_A} TX & \left(Y^{\sharp_A} SX \right)^{\sharp_A} \\ Y^{\sharp_A} SX & Y^{\sharp_A} RY^{\sharp_A} \end{pmatrix} \geq_{\mathbf{A}} 0.$$

Applying Theorem 2.7, we obtain

$$\omega_A^n \left(Y^{\sharp_A} SX \right) \leq \frac{1}{2} \left\| \left(X^{\sharp_A} TX \right)^n + \left(Y^{\sharp_A} RY^{\sharp_A} \right)^n \right\|_A.$$

Further, using the fact $\omega_A(W^{\sharp_A}) = \omega_A(W)$ for all $W \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$, it can be observed that

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_A^n \left(Y^{\sharp_A} SX \right) &= \omega_A^n \left(\left(Y^{\sharp_A} SX \right)^{\sharp_A} \right) = \omega_A^n \left(X^{\sharp_A} S^{\sharp_A} Y^{\sharp_A} \right) \\ &= \omega_A^n \left((YSX)^{\sharp_A} \right) = \omega_A^n(YSX). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \left(X^{\sharp_A} TX \right)^n + \left(Y^{\sharp_A} RY^{\sharp_A} \right)^n \right\|_A = \left\| \left[\left(X^{\sharp_A} TX \right)^n + \left(Y^{\sharp_A} RY^{\sharp_A} \right)^n \right]^{\sharp_A} \right\|_A \\ &= \left\| \left(X^{\sharp_A} T^{\sharp_A} X^{\sharp_A} \right)^n + \left(Y^{\sharp_A} R^{\sharp_A} Y^{\sharp_A} \right)^n \right\|_A = \left\| \left[\left(X^{\sharp_A} TX \right)^n + \left(YRY^{\sharp_A} \right)^n \right]^{\sharp_A} \right\|_A \\ &= \left\| \left(X^{\sharp_A} TX \right)^n + \left(YRY^{\sharp_A} \right)^n \right\|_A. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we get $\omega_A^n(YSX) \leq \frac{1}{2} \left\| \left(X^{\sharp_A} TX \right)^n + \left(YRY^{\sharp_A} \right)^n \right\|_A$, as desired. $\square$

Again, as an application of Theorem 2.7 we get the following inequality.

Theorem 2.13. *Let $T, S, R, Q \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$. Then*

$$\omega_A^n \left(TR^{\sharp_A} \pm SQ^{\sharp_A} \right) \leq \frac{1}{2} \left\| \left(TT^{\sharp_A} + SS^{\sharp_A} \right)^n + \left(RR^{\sharp_A} + QQ^{\sharp_A} \right)^n \right\|_A, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*.$$

Proof. Let $\begin{pmatrix} T & S \\ R & Q \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H})$, then $\begin{pmatrix} T & S \\ R & Q \end{pmatrix}^{\sharp_A} \begin{pmatrix} T & S \\ R & Q \end{pmatrix}^{\sharp_A}$ is $\mathbf{A}$ -positive.

Now, it can be verified that

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} T & S \\ R & Q \end{pmatrix}^{\sharp_A} \begin{pmatrix} T & S \\ R & Q \end{pmatrix}^{\sharp_A} &= \left[\begin{pmatrix} T & S \\ R & Q \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} T & S \\ R & Q \end{pmatrix}^{\sharp_A} \right]^{\sharp_A} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} T^{\sharp_A} T^{\sharp_A} + S^{\sharp_A} S^{\sharp_A} & T^{\sharp_A} R^{\sharp_A} + S^{\sharp_A} Q^{\sharp_A} \\ R^{\sharp_A} T^{\sharp_A} + Q^{\sharp_A} S^{\sharp_A} & R^{\sharp_A} R^{\sharp_A} + Q^{\sharp_A} Q^{\sharp_A} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} T^{\sharp_A} T^{\sharp_A} + S^{\sharp_A} S^{\sharp_A} & \left(R^{\sharp_A} T^{\sharp_A} + Q^{\sharp_A} S^{\sharp_A} \right)^{\sharp_A} \\ R^{\sharp_A} T^{\sharp_A} + Q^{\sharp_A} S^{\sharp_A} & R^{\sharp_A} R^{\sharp_A} + Q^{\sharp_A} Q^{\sharp_A} \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $T^{\sharp_A} T^{\sharp_A} + S^{\sharp_A} S^{\sharp_A}$ and $R^{\sharp_A} R^{\sharp_A} + Q^{\sharp_A} Q^{\sharp_A}$ are A -positive operators, applying Theorem 2.7, we get for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$,

$$\omega_A^n \left(R^{\sharp_A} T^{\sharp_A} + Q^{\sharp_A} S^{\sharp_A} \right) \leq \frac{1}{2} \left\| \left(T^{\sharp_A} T^{\sharp_A} + S^{\sharp_A} S^{\sharp_A} \right)^n + \left(R^{\sharp_A} R^{\sharp_A} + Q^{\sharp_A} Q^{\sharp_A} \right)^n \right\|_A.$$

Moreover, we have

$$\omega_A^n \left(R^{\sharp_A} T^{\sharp_A} + Q^{\sharp_A} S^{\sharp_A} \right) = \omega_A^n \left((TR^{\sharp_A} + SQ^{\sharp_A})^{\sharp_A} \right) = \omega_A^n (TR^{\sharp_A} + SQ^{\sharp_A}),$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{and} \quad & \left\| \left(T^{\sharp_A} T^{\sharp_A} + S^{\sharp_A} S^{\sharp_A} \right)^n + \left(R^{\sharp_A} R^{\sharp_A} + Q^{\sharp_A} Q^{\sharp_A} \right)^n \right\|_A \\ &= \left\| \left[(TT^{\sharp_A} + SS^{\sharp_A})^n + (RR^{\sharp_A} + QQ^{\sharp_A})^n \right]^{\sharp_A} \right\|_A \\ &= \left\| (TT^{\sharp_A} + SS^{\sharp_A})^n + (RR^{\sharp_A} + QQ^{\sharp_A})^n \right\|_A. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we get

$$\omega_A^n \left(TR^{\sharp_A} + SQ^{\sharp_A} \right) \leq \frac{1}{2} \left\| \left(TT^{\sharp_A} + SS^{\sharp_A} \right)^n + \left(RR^{\sharp_A} + QQ^{\sharp_A} \right)^n \right\|_A, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*.$$

Replacing S by $-S$ in the above inequality, we have

$$\omega_A^n \left(TR^{\sharp_A} - SQ^{\sharp_A} \right) \leq \frac{1}{2} \left\| \left(TT^{\sharp_A} + SS^{\sharp_A} \right)^n + \left(RR^{\sharp_A} + QQ^{\sharp_A} \right)^n \right\|_A, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*.$$

This completes the proof. $\square$

Remark 2.14. (i) By letting $R = S$ and $Q = \pm T$ in Theorem 2.13 we get the following inequality [34] :

$$\omega_A(TS^{\sharp A} \pm ST^{\sharp A}) \leq \|TT^{\sharp A} + SS^{\sharp A}\|_A.$$

(ii) Considering the case $A = I$, $n = 1$, $R^{\sharp A} = S$ and $Q^{\sharp A} = T$ in Theorem 2.13, we obtain the following numerical radius inequality [26] for commutator of operators:

$$\text{For } T, S \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}), \quad w(TS \pm ST) \leq \frac{1}{2} \|T^*T + TT^* + S^*S + SS^*\|.$$

We next obtain the following inequalities.

Corollary 2.15. *Let $T, R \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$. Then $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*$,*

$$(i) \quad \omega_A^n(TR^{\sharp A}) \leq \frac{1}{2} \left\| (TT^{\sharp A})^n + (RR^{\sharp A})^n \right\|_A,$$

$$(ii) \quad \omega_A^n(RT) \leq \frac{1}{2} \left\| (T^{\sharp A}T)^n + (RR^{\sharp A})^n \right\|_A.$$

Proof. (i) Putting $S = Q = 0$ in the Theorem 2.13, we obtain

$$\omega_A^n(TR^{\sharp A}) \leq \frac{1}{2} \left\| (TT^{\sharp A})^n + (RR^{\sharp A})^n \right\|_A, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*.$$

(ii) Using (i) and replacing T by $T^{\sharp A}$, we get

$$\omega_A^n(T^{\sharp A}R^{\sharp A}) \leq \frac{1}{2} \left\| (T^{\sharp A}T^{\sharp A})^n + (RR^{\sharp A})^n \right\|_A.$$

$$\text{Now,} \quad \omega_A^n(T^{\sharp A}R^{\sharp A}) = \omega_A^n((RT)^{\sharp A}) = \omega_A^n(RT)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{and} \quad & \left\| (T^{\sharp A}T^{\sharp A})^n + (RR^{\sharp A})^n \right\|_A = \left\| (T^{\sharp A}T^{\sharp A})^n + (R^{\sharp A}R^{\sharp A})^n \right\|_A \\ & = \left\| ((T^{\sharp A}T)^n + (RR^{\sharp A})^n)^{\sharp A} \right\|_A = \left\| (T^{\sharp A}T)^n + (RR^{\sharp A})^n \right\|_A. \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Hence, } \omega_A^n(RT) \leq \frac{1}{2} \left\| (T^{\sharp A}T)^n + (RR^{\sharp A})^n \right\|_A, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*, \text{ as desired.} \quad \square$$

We next obtain the following inequalities.

Corollary 2.16. *Let $T, S, R, Q, X, Y \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$. Then $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*$,*

$$(i) \quad \begin{aligned} & \omega_A^n(Y(RT^{\sharp A} + QS^{\sharp A})X) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \left\| (X^{\sharp A}(TT^{\sharp A} + SS^{\sharp A})X)^n + (Y(RR^{\sharp A} + QQ^{\sharp A})Y^{\sharp A})^n \right\|_A, \end{aligned}$$

$$(ii) \quad \begin{aligned} & \omega_A^n(Y(TT^{\sharp A} \pm SS^{\sharp A})X) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \left\| (X^{\sharp A}(TT^{\sharp A} + SS^{\sharp A})X)^n + (Y(TT^{\sharp A} + SS^{\sharp A})Y^{\sharp A})^n \right\|_A, \end{aligned}$$

$$(iii) \quad \omega_A^n(YX) \leq \frac{1}{2} \left\| (X^{\sharp A}X)^n + (YY^{\sharp A})^n \right\|_A.$$

Proof. (i) The proof follows from Theorem 2.12 by using the fact

$$\begin{pmatrix} T^{\sharp_A} T^{\sharp_A} + S^{\sharp_A} S^{\sharp_A} & \begin{pmatrix} R^{\sharp_A} T^{\sharp_A} + Q^{\sharp_A} S^{\sharp_A} \\ R^{\sharp_A} R^{\sharp_A} + Q^{\sharp_A} Q^{\sharp_A} \end{pmatrix}^{\sharp_A} \\ R^{\sharp_A} T^{\sharp_A} + Q^{\sharp_A} S^{\sharp_A} & R^{\sharp_A} R^{\sharp_A} + Q^{\sharp_A} Q^{\sharp_A} \end{pmatrix}$$

is $\mathbf{A}$ -positive, (see Theorem 2.13).

(ii) Taking $R = T$ and $Q = \pm S$ in (i) we get the desired inequality.

(iii) Taking $T = S = R = Q = I$ in (i) we get the desired inequality. $\square$

To prove our next results we need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.17. [7, Lemma 2.11] *Let $x, y, z \in \mathcal{H}$ with $\|z\|_A = 1$. Then*

$$|\langle x, z \rangle_A| |\langle z, y \rangle_A| \leq \frac{1}{2} (\|x\|_A \|y\|_A + |\langle x, y \rangle_A|).$$

Theorem 2.18. *Let $T, S, R \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$ be such that $\begin{pmatrix} T & S^{\sharp_A} \\ S & R \end{pmatrix}$ is $\mathbf{A}$ -positive where T and R are A -positive operators. Then*

$$\omega_A^{2n}(S) \leq \frac{1}{4} \|(T^{\sharp_A} T)^n + (R^{\sharp_A} R)^n\|_A + \frac{1}{2} \omega_A^n(R^{\sharp_A} T), \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*.$$

Proof. Let $x \in \mathcal{H}$ with $\|x\|_A = 1$. Then from Lemma 2.5 we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle Sx, x \rangle_A|^2 &\leq \langle Tx, x \rangle_A \langle Rx, x \rangle_A \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|Tx\|_A \|Rx\|_A + \frac{1}{2} |\langle Tx, Rx \rangle_A| \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.17}) \\ &\leq \left(\frac{\|Tx\|_A^n \|Rx\|_A^n + |\langle Tx, Rx \rangle_A|^n}{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.4}) \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{4} (\|Tx\|_A^{2n} + \|Rx\|_A^{2n}) + \frac{1}{2} |\langle Tx, Rx \rangle_A|^n \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{4} (\langle T^{\sharp_A} Tx, x \rangle_A^n + \langle R^{\sharp_A} Rx, x \rangle_A^n) + \frac{1}{2} |\langle R^{\sharp_A} Tx, x \rangle_A|^n \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{4} (\langle (T^{\sharp_A} T)^n x, x \rangle_A + \langle (R^{\sharp_A} R)^n x, x \rangle_A) + \frac{1}{2} |\langle R^{\sharp_A} Tx, x \rangle_A|^n \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.6}) \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{4} \|(T^{\sharp_A} T)^n + (R^{\sharp_A} R)^n\|_A + \frac{1}{2} \omega_A^n(R^{\sharp_A} T) \right)^{\frac{1}{n}}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we infer that

$$|\langle Sx, x \rangle_A|^{2n} \leq \frac{1}{4} \|(T^{\sharp_A} T)^n + (R^{\sharp_A} R)^n\|_A + \frac{1}{2} \omega_A^n(R^{\sharp_A} T).$$

Taking supremum over all $x \in \mathcal{H}$ with $\|x\|_A = 1$, we get the desired inequality. $\square$

Considering $n = 1$ in Theorem 2.18 we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 2.19. *Let $T, S, R \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$ be such that $\begin{pmatrix} T & S^{\sharp_A} \\ S & R \end{pmatrix}$ is $\mathbf{A}$ -positive where T and R are A -positive operators. Then*

$$\omega_A^2(S) \leq \frac{1}{4} \|T^{\sharp_A}T + R^{\sharp_A}R\|_A + \frac{1}{2} \omega_A(R^{\sharp_A}T).$$

The next theorem is as follows.

Theorem 2.20. *Let $T, S, R \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$ be such that $\begin{pmatrix} T & S^{\sharp_A} \\ S & R \end{pmatrix}$ is $\mathbf{A}$ -positive where T and R are A -positive operators. Then*

$$\omega_A^2(S) \leq \frac{1}{2} \|T\|_A \|R\|_A + \frac{1}{2} \omega_A(R^{\sharp_A}T).$$

Proof. Let $x \in \mathcal{H}$ with $\|x\|_A = 1$. Then it follows from Lemma 2.5 that

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle Sx, x \rangle_A|^2 &\leq \langle Tx, x \rangle_A \langle Rx, x \rangle_A \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|Tx\|_A \|Rx\|_A + \frac{1}{2} |\langle Tx, Rx \rangle_A| \text{ (by Lemma 2.17)} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \|Tx\|_A \|Rx\|_A + \frac{1}{2} |\langle R^{\sharp_A}Tx, x \rangle_A| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|T\|_A \|R\|_A + \frac{1}{2} \omega_A(R^{\sharp_A}T). \end{aligned}$$

Taking supremum over all $x \in \mathcal{H}$ with $\|x\|_A = 1$, we get the desired inequality. $\square$

As an application of Theorem 2.20 we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 2.21. *Let $T, S \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$. Then*

$$\omega_A^2(ST) \leq \frac{1}{2} \|S\|_A^2 \|T\|_A^2 + \frac{1}{2} \omega_A(S(TS)^{\sharp_A}T).$$

Proof. Since $\begin{pmatrix} T^{\sharp_A}T & (ST)^{\sharp_A} \\ ST & SS^{\sharp_A} \end{pmatrix}$ is $\mathbf{A}$ -positive (see Theorem 2.9), by applying Theorem 2.20 we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_A^2(ST) &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|T^{\sharp_A}T\|_A \|SS^{\sharp_A}\|_A + \frac{1}{2} \omega_A((SS^{\sharp_A})^{\sharp_A}T^{\sharp_A}T) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \|T\|_A^2 \|S\|_A^2 + \frac{1}{2} \omega_A(S^{\sharp_A}(TS)^{\sharp_A}T) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \|T\|_A^2 \|S\|_A^2 + \frac{1}{2} \omega_A(T^{\sharp_A}(TS)^{\sharp_A}S^{\sharp_A}) \end{aligned}$$

(using the facts $\omega_A(X) = \omega_A(X^{\sharp_A})$ and $(X^{\sharp_A})^{\sharp_A} = X^{\sharp_A}$ for every $X \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$)

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{1}{2} \|T\|_A^2 \|S\|_A^2 + \frac{1}{2} \omega_A((S(TS)^{\sharp_A}T)^{\sharp_A}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \|T\|_A^2 \|S\|_A^2 + \frac{1}{2} \omega_A(S(TS)^{\sharp_A}T), \end{aligned}$$

as desired. $\square$

Also, by applying Corollary 2.19 we obtain the following.

Corollary 2.22. *Let $T, S \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$. Then*

$$\omega_A^2(ST) \leq \frac{1}{4} \left\| (T^{\sharp_A} T)^2 + (SS^{\sharp_A})^2 \right\|_A + \frac{1}{2} \omega_A (S(TS)^{\sharp_A} T).$$

Proof. Since $\begin{pmatrix} T^{\sharp_A} T & (ST)^{\sharp_A} \\ ST & SS^{\sharp_A} \end{pmatrix}$ is $\mathbf{A}$ -positive (see Theorem 2.9), by applying Corollary 2.19 we get,

$$\begin{aligned} & \omega_A^2(ST) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{4} \left\| (T^{\sharp_A} T)^{\sharp_A} T^{\sharp_A} T + (SS^{\sharp_A})^{\sharp_A} SS^{\sharp_A} \right\|_A + \frac{1}{2} \omega_A ((SS^{\sharp_A})^{\sharp_A} T^{\sharp_A} T) \\ & = \frac{1}{4} \left\| T^{\sharp_A} T^{\sharp_A} T^{\sharp_A} T + S^{\sharp_A} S^{\sharp_A} S^{\sharp_A} SS^{\sharp_A} \right\|_A + \frac{1}{2} \omega_A (S(TS)^{\sharp_A} T) \\ & = \frac{1}{4} \left\| T^{\sharp_A} T^{\sharp_A} T^{\sharp_A} T^{\sharp_A} T^{\sharp_A} T + S^{\sharp_A} S^{\sharp_A} S^{\sharp_A} S^{\sharp_A} S^{\sharp_A} \right\|_A + \frac{1}{2} \omega_A (S(TS)^{\sharp_A} T) \\ & = \frac{1}{4} \left\| \left((T^{\sharp_A} T)^{\sharp_A} \right)^2 + \left(S^{\sharp_A} S^{\sharp_A} \right)^2 \right\|_A + \frac{1}{2} \omega_A (S(TS)^{\sharp_A} T) \\ & = \frac{1}{4} \left\| \left((T^{\sharp_A} T)^2 + (SS^{\sharp_A})^2 \right)^{\sharp_A} \right\|_A + \frac{1}{2} \omega_A (S(TS)^{\sharp_A} T) \\ & = \frac{1}{4} \left\| (T^{\sharp_A} T)^2 + (SS^{\sharp_A})^2 \right\|_A + \frac{1}{2} \omega_A (S(TS)^{\sharp_A} T), \end{aligned}$$

as required. $\square$

Another application of Theorem 2.20 leads to the following corollary.

Corollary 2.23. *Let $T, S, R, X, Y \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$ be such that $\begin{pmatrix} T & S^{\sharp_A} \\ S & R \end{pmatrix}$ is $\mathbf{A}$ -positive where T and R are A -positive operators. Then*

$$\omega_A^2(YSX) \leq \frac{1}{2} \|X^{\sharp_A} TX\|_A \|YRY^{\sharp_A}\|_A + \frac{1}{2} \omega_A (YR^{\sharp_A}(XY)^{\sharp_A}TX).$$

Proof. Since $\begin{pmatrix} T & S^{\sharp_A} \\ S & R \end{pmatrix}$ is $\mathbf{A}$ -positive, so $\begin{pmatrix} X^{\sharp_A} TX & (Y^{\sharp_A} SX)^{\sharp_A} \\ Y^{\sharp_A} SX & Y^{\sharp_A} RY^{\sharp_A} \end{pmatrix}$ is $\mathbf{A}$ -positive (see Theorem 2.12), by applying Theorem 2.20, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_A^2 \left(Y^{\sharp_A} SX \right) & \leq \frac{1}{2} \|X^{\sharp_A} TX\|_A \|Y^{\sharp_A} RY^{\sharp_A}\|_A + \frac{1}{2} \omega_A \left(\left(Y^{\sharp_A} RY^{\sharp_A} \right)^{\sharp_A} X^{\sharp_A} TX \right) \\ & = \frac{1}{2} \|X^{\sharp_A} TX\|_A \|YRY^{\sharp_A}\|_A + \frac{1}{2} \omega_A (YR^{\sharp_A}(XY)^{\sharp_A}TX). \end{aligned}$$

This implies the desired inequality. $\square$

Also, another application of Theorem 2.20 gives the following.

Corollary 2.24. *Let $T, S, R, Q, X, Y \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \omega_A^2 (TR^{\sharp_A} + SQ^{\sharp_A}) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \|TT^{\sharp_A} + SS^{\sharp_A}\|_A \|RR^{\sharp_A} + QQ^{\sharp_A}\|_A + \frac{1}{2} \omega_A ((TT^{\sharp_A} + SS^{\sharp_A})(RR^{\sharp_A} + QQ^{\sharp_A})). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The proof follows from Theorem 2.20 and by using the fact

$$\begin{pmatrix} T^{\sharp_A} T^{\sharp_A} + S^{\sharp_A} S^{\sharp_A} & \begin{pmatrix} R^{\sharp_A} T^{\sharp_A} + Q^{\sharp_A} S^{\sharp_A} \end{pmatrix}^{\sharp_A} \\ R^{\sharp_A} T^{\sharp_A} + Q^{\sharp_A} S^{\sharp_A} & R^{\sharp_A} R^{\sharp_A} + Q^{\sharp_A} Q^{\sharp_A} \end{pmatrix}$$

is **A**-positive, (see Theorem 2.13). □

The following inequalities are immediate consequence of the above result.

Corollary 2.25. *Let $R, T \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(i)} \quad & \omega_A^2(RT) \leq \frac{1}{2} \|R\|_A^2 \|T\|_A^2 + \frac{1}{2} \omega_A (T^{\sharp_A} T R R^{\sharp_A}), \\ \text{(ii)} \quad & \omega_A^2(R + Q) \leq 2 \|R R^{\sharp_A} + Q Q^{\sharp_A}\|_A. \end{aligned}$$

We end the article with following new inequality on operator norm.

Theorem 2.26. *Let T be a bounded linear operator on $\mathcal{H}$, i.e., $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Then*

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \|T^*T + TT^*\|^{1/2} \leq \max \|Re(T) \pm Im(T)\| \leq \|T^*T + TT^*\|^{1/2}.$$

Proof. Considering $A = I$ and $R = Re(T)$, $Q = \pm Im(T)$ in Corollary 2.25 (ii), we obtain $\|Re(T) \pm Im(T)\|^2 \leq \|T^*T + TT^*\|$. Also it is easy to verify that

$$\begin{aligned} \|T^*T + TT^*\| & \leq \|Re(T) + Im(T)\|^2 + \|Re(T) - Im(T)\|^2 \\ & \leq 2 \max \|Re(T) \pm Im(T)\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \|T^*T + TT^*\|^{1/2} \leq \max \|Re(T) \pm Im(T)\| \leq \|T^*T + TT^*\|^{1/2},$$

as desired. □

Acknowledgements. Dr. Pintu Bhunia would like to thank SERB, Govt. of India for the financial support in the form of National Post Doctoral Fellowship (N-PDF, File No. PDF/2022/000325) under the mentorship of Professor Apoorva Khare.

References

- [1] A. Abu-Omar, F. Kittaneh: *Upper and lower bounds for the numerical radius with an application to involution operators*, Rocky Mountain J. Math. 45/4 (2015) 1055–1064.
- [2] H. Albadawi, K. Shebrawi: *Numerical radius and operator norm inequalities*, J. Inequal. Appl. (2009), art. no. 492154, 11 pp.
- [3] M. W. Alomari: *The generalized Schwarz inequality for semi-Hilbertian space operators and some A-numerical radius inequalities*, arxiv: 2007.01701 (2020).
- [4] M. L. Arias, G. Corach, M. C. Gonzalez: *Metric properties of projections in semi-Hilbertian spaces*, Integral Equations Oper. Theory 62/1 (2008) 11–28.
- [5] M. L. Arias, G. Corach, M. C. Gonzalez: *Partial isometries in semi-Hilbertian spaces*, Linear Algebra Appl. 428/7 (2008) 1460–1475.
- [6] M. L. Arias, M. Mbekhta: *A-partial isometries and generalized inverses*, Linear Algebra Appl. 439 (2013) 1286–1293.
- [7] A. Bhanja, P. Bhunia, K. Paul: *On generalized Davis-Wielandt radius inequalities of semi-Hilbertian space operators*, Oper. Matrices 15/4 (2021) 1201–1225.
- [8] P. Bhunia, S. S. Dragomir, M. S. Moslehian, K. Paul: *Lectures on Numerical Radius Inequalities*, Infosys Science Foundation Series in Mathematical Sciences, Springer, Cham (2022).
- [9] P. Bhunia, K. Feki, K. Paul: *A-numerical radius orthogonality and parallelism of semi-Hilbertian space operators and their applications*, Bull. Iranian Math. Soc. 47/2 (2021) 435–457.
- [10] P. Bhunia, R. K. Nayak, K. Paul: *Refinements of A-numerical radius inequalities and their applications*, Adv. Oper. Theory 5/4 (2020) 1498–1511.
- [11] P. Bhunia, R. K. Nayak, K. Paul: *Improvement of A-numerical radius inequalities of semi-Hilbertian space operators*, Results Math. 76/3 (2021), art. no. 120, 10 pp.
- [12] P. Bhunia, K. Paul: *Development of inequalities and characterization of equality conditions for the numerical radius*, Linear Algebra Appl. 630 (2021) 306–315.
- [13] P. Bhunia, K. Paul: *New upper bounds for the numerical radius of Hilbert space operators*, Bull. Sci. Math. 167 (2021), art. no. 102959, 11 pp.
- [14] P. Bhunia, K. Paul: *Some improvements of numerical radius inequalities of operators and operator matrices*, Linear Multilinear Algebra 70/10 (2022) 1995–2013.
- [15] P. Bhunia, K. Paul, R. K. Nayak: *On inequalities for A-numerical radius of operators*, Electron. J. Linear Algebra 36 (2020) 143–157.
- [16] A. Burqana, A. Abu-Rahma: *Generalizations of numerical radius inequalities related to block matrices*, Filomat 33/15 (2019) 4981–4987.
- [17] C. Conde, K. Feki: *On some inequalities for the generalized joint numerical radius of semi-Hilbert space operators*, Ricerche Mat. (2021).
- [18] R. G. Douglas: *On majorization, factorization and range inclusion of operators in Hilbert space*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 17 (1966) 413–416.
- [19] S. S. Dragomir: *Some inequalities for the norm and the numerical radius of linear operator in Hilbert spaces*, Tamkang J. Math. 39/1 (2008) 1–7.
- [20] S. S. Dragomir: *Inequalities for the Numerical Radius of Linear Operators in Hilbert Spaces*, Springer Briefs in Mathematics, Springer, Cham (2013).

- [21] M. El-Haddad, F. Kittaneh: *Numerical radius inequalities for Hilbert space operators. II*, Studia Math. 182/2 (2007) 133–140.
- [22] K. Feki: *Some $\mathbb{A}$ -numerical radius inequalities for $d \times d$ operator matrices*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2) 71/1 (2022) 85–103.
- [23] M. Guesba: *Some generalizations of A -numerical radius inequalities for semi-Hilbert space operators*, Boll. Unione Mat. Ital. 14/4 (2021) 681–692.
- [24] M. Guesba, E. Ould Beiba, O. A. Mahmoud Sid Ahmed: *Joint A -hyponormality of operators in semi-Hilbert spaces*, Linear Multilinear Algebra 69/15 (2021) 2888–2907.
- [25] G. H. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood, G. Polya: *Inequalities*, 2nd ed., Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge (1988).
- [26] F. Kittaneh: *Numerical radius inequalities for Hilbert space operators*, Studia Math. 168/1 (2005) 73–80.
- [27] M. S. Moslehian, Q. Xu, A. Zamani: *Seminorm and numerical radius inequalities of operators in semi-Hilbertian spaces*, Linear Algebra Appl. 591 (2020) 299–321.
- [28] C. Pearcy: *An elementary proof of the power inequality for the numerical radius*, Michigan Math. J. 13/3 (1966) 289–291.
- [29] N. C. Rout, S. Sahoo, D. Mishra: *On A -numerical radius inequalities for 2×2 operator matrices*, Linear Multilinear Algebra 70/14 (2022) 2672–2692.
- [30] A. Saddi: *A -normal operators in semi Hilbertian spaces*, Aust. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 9/1 (2012), art. no. 5, 12 pp.
- [31] L. Suci: *Quasi-isometries in semi-Hilbertian spaces*, Linear Algebra Appl. 430 (2009) 2474–2487.
- [32] Q. Xu, Z. Ye, A. Zamani: *Some upper bounds for the $\mathbb{A}$ -numerical radius of 2×2 block matrices*, Adv. Oper. Theory 6/1 (2021), art. no. 1, 13 pp.
- [33] T. Yamazaki: *On upper and lower bounds of the numerical radius and an equality condition*, Studia Math. 178/1 (2007) 83–89.
- [34] A. Zamani: *A -numerical radius inequalities for semi-Hilbertian space operators*, Linear Algebra Appl. 578 (2019) 159–183.