

Fixed Points of Generalized Pseudo-Contractions: Existence and Stability

Luc Barbet

*Laboratoire de Mathématiques et de leurs Applications, Université de Pau
et des Pays de l'Adour, CNRS UMR 5142, Pau, France
luc.barbet@univ-pau.fr*

Dedicated to Jean-Paul Penot on the occasion of his 80th birthday.

Received: May 23, 2024
Accepted: June 26, 2024

We present an extension of Nadler's fixed point theorem and its local version for a class of multivalued maps that contains the pseudo-contractions. Relatively to a modulus, we propose conditions to ensure existence of fixed points with a quantitative estimate (depending on the modulus) about the distance of a reference point to the fixed point set. Some stability properties are established and are applied to the study of convergence of fixed point sets.

Keywords: Fixed points, multivalued maps, pseudo-contractions, iterative sequences, stability, convergence.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 47H10, 47H09, 47H04.

1. Introduction

In the metric branch of fixed point theory, Banach's Contraction Principle and its version for multivalued contractions proved by Nadler [20] are fundamental and are presented in many books (see, e.g., [2], [12], [13], [17], [21]). Among numerous extensions (some are presented in [16], [18]), the case of pseudo-contractions is important for applications. Existence is proved in [11]; see also [5] where some stability properties are also presented.

The aim of this paper is to extend these results for a class of multivalued maps defined by some metric conditions based on a given function $\varphi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ for which some conditions have to be required. In the case of single-valued maps, Boyd and Wong [7] proved such results with general conditions. In particular, if φ is continuous and $\varphi(t) < t$ for all $t > 0$: if f is a self-mapping of a complete metric space satisfying $d(f(x), f(y)) \leq \varphi(d(x, y))$ then it admits a unique fixed point. Another possible condition on φ is: φ is nondecreasing and the compositions $\varphi^{(n)}(t) \rightarrow 0$ for all $t > 0$ (see for instance [13] and [16] for a proof of this result of Matkowski). In these cases each iterative sequence of f converges to the unique fixed point. But no quantitative estimates are obtained. Our objective is to impose some conditions on such a function φ in order to obtain some error estimates for the convergent iterative sequences and also to consider multivalued maps in this study.

In § 2 we define Banach- φ -iterative sequences of a multivalued map T and we establish existence of fixed points with error estimates. In § 3 we define the property for T to be a Banach- φ -contraction w.r.t. a pair of its graph and we apply the results of the previous section to get generalizations of fixed point theorems for pseudo-contractions. In § 4 we get stability results for the general class of multivalued maps defined in § 3 and we deduce various convergence properties of the fixed point sets under similar convergence of the multivalued maps.

In this paper (X, d) is a metric space. We denote by $B(x_0, r)$ the closed ball with center $x_0 \in X$ and radius $r > 0$. For subsets of X , the excess from A to B is defined by $\mathbf{e}(A, B) = \sup \{d(a, B) : a \in A\}$ where the distance from a point a to B is defined by $d(a, B) = \inf \{d(a, b) : b \in B\}$. By convention: $d(a, \emptyset) = \infty$, $\mathbf{e}(\emptyset, B) = 0$ for $B \subset X$ and $\mathbf{e}(A, \emptyset) = \infty$ for $\emptyset \neq A \subset X$. The Hausdorff-Pompeiu distance between nonempty subsets A and B is defined by $\mathbf{h}(A, B) = \max(\mathbf{e}(A, B), \mathbf{e}(B, A))$.

By a multivalued map $T : X \rightrightarrows X$ we mean a function T from X into the family $Cl(X)$ of closed subsets of X . For $x \in X$, we denote by Tx its value from T . The domain of T is the subset $\text{dom}(T)$ of points with nonempty values. The graph of T is defined as $\text{Gr}(T) = \{(x, y) \in X \times X : y \in Tx\}$. A fixed point of T is a point $\bar{x}$ such that $\bar{x} \in T\bar{x}$; we will denote by $\text{Fix}(T)$ the subset of all the fixed points of T .

We will use the following basic notions.

- For a function $\varphi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ we define $\widehat{\varphi} : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ by $\widehat{\varphi}(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \varphi^{(k)}(t)$ with $\varphi^{(k)}$ the composition $\varphi \circ \dots \circ \varphi$ with k factors (where $\varphi^{(0)} : t \mapsto t$). We also denote $\varphi_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \varphi^{(k)}$ and $\widehat{\varphi}_n = \sum_{k=n}^{+\infty} \varphi^{(k)}$ for all n . We remark that $\widehat{\varphi}_n = \widehat{\varphi} \circ \varphi^{(n)}$.
- $\varphi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ is said to be a *Banach modulus* iff φ is nondecreasing with $\varphi(0) = 0$ and $\widehat{\varphi}(\tau) < \infty$ for some $\tau > 0$.

Such a modulus (with $\widehat{\varphi}(t) < \infty$ for all $t > 0$) has been considered in [6] to define a generalization of a single-valued contraction $T : X \rightarrow X$ that admits a unique fixed point in a complete metric space.

The classical modulus $t \mapsto \theta t$ is a Banach modulus iff $0 \leq \theta < 1$ and in this case $\widehat{\varphi}(t) = \alpha t$ (for all $t \geq 0$) with $\alpha = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \theta^k = (1 - \theta)^{-1}$. Let us remark that Banach moduli are not restricted to these moduli $t \mapsto \theta t$ with $0 \leq \theta < 1$. Consider for instance the function defined by $\varphi(t) = t/2$ for $0 \leq t \leq 2$ and $\varphi(t) = t - 1$ for $2 < t$.

A Banach modulus φ is a particular modulus of continuity as defined in [8]. This is a consequence of some elementary properties of a Banach modulus φ such that $\widehat{\varphi}(\tau) < \infty$ (with $\tau > 0$):

- $\widehat{\varphi}$ is a nondecreasing real-valued function on $[0, \tau]$,
- $\varphi(t) < t$ for all $t \in (0, \tau]$ and φ is continuous at 0,
- if φ is continuous on $[0, \tau]$ then $\widehat{\varphi}$ is continuous on $[0, \tau]$.

Indeed, (i) is obvious since all $\varphi^{(k)} : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ and thus $\widehat{\varphi}$ are nondecreasing functions and then $\widehat{\varphi}(t) \leq \widehat{\varphi}(\tau)$ on $(0, \tau]$. Property (ii) holds since if $\varphi(t) \geq t$ then $\varphi^{(k+1)}(t) \geq \varphi^{(k)}(t) \geq \dots \geq t$ which contradicts the convergence $\varphi^{(k)}(t) \rightarrow 0$ for any $t \in (0, \tau]$. We note that $\varphi([0, \tau]) \subset [0, \tau]$. Finally (iii) is proved by using the

continuity of all $\varphi^{(k)}$ and thus all φ_n on $[0, \tau]$ and by using the inequalities

$$0 \leq \widehat{\varphi}(t) - \varphi_n(t) = \widehat{\varphi}_{n+1}(t) \leq \widehat{\varphi}_{n+1}(\tau)$$

for $t \in [0, \tau]$ which imply that the sequence of functions (φ_n) is uniformly convergent to $\widehat{\varphi}$ on $[0, \tau]$.

2. Existence of fixed points from Banach- φ -iterative sequences

In this section, we propose some sufficient conditions, relative to a given Banach modulus, for the existence of a fixed point of a multivalued map $T : X \rightrightarrows X$ which will be associated with an a priori estimate from an iterative construction.

We introduce two definitions concerning an *iterative sequence of T* which is a sequence (x_n) such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $x_{n+1} \in Tx_n$:

- (x_n) is said to be a φ -iterative sequence of T iff (x_n) is an iterative sequence of T such that, for all n , $d(x_{n+2}, x_{n+1}) \leq \varphi(d(x_{n+1}, x_n))$ where φ is a Banach modulus;
- (x_n) is said to be a Banach- φ -iterative sequence of T iff (x_n) is a φ -iterative sequence of T and $\widehat{\varphi}(d(x_0, x_1)) < \infty$.

We now prove that a Banach- φ -iterative sequence is necessarily a Cauchy sequence and that some estimates can be obtained in case of convergence.

Theorem 2.1. *If (x_n) is a Banach- φ -iterative sequence of T , then (x_n) is a Cauchy sequence in $B(x_0, \widehat{\varphi}(d(x_0, x_1)))$. If moreover (x_n) converges to x_∞ , then*

$$d(x_\infty, x_n) \leq \widehat{\varphi}_n(d(x_0, x_1)) \quad (n \geq 0), \quad (1)$$

$$d(x_\infty, Tx_\infty) \leq \liminf e(Tx_n \cap B(x_0, \widehat{\varphi}(d(x_0, x_1))), Tx_\infty). \quad (2)$$

Proof. We denote $R = \widehat{\varphi}(d(x_0, x_1)) \in [0, \infty)$. The property

$$d(x_{n+1}, x_n) \leq \varphi^{(n)}(d(x_0, x_1))$$

follows from the facts that (x_n) is a φ -iterative sequence and φ is nondecreasing. Using $d(x_{n+p}, x_n) \leq \sum_{k=n}^{n+p-1} d(x_{k+1}, x_k)$ we get

$$d(x_{n+p}, x_n) \leq \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \varphi^{(k)}(d(x_0, x_1)) = \widehat{\varphi}_n(d(x_0, x_1)).$$

Thus (x_n) is a Cauchy sequence and $d(x_p, x_0) \leq \widehat{\varphi}_0(d(x_0, x_1)) = R$. Assume now that (x_n) converges to x_∞ . We immediately deduce $d(x_\infty, x_n) \leq \widehat{\varphi}_n(d(x_0, x_1))$. To prove (2) we can assume that Tx_∞ is nonempty and in consequence of the inequality $d(x_\infty, Tx_\infty) \leq d(x_\infty, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, Tx_\infty)$ and by the fact that $x_{n+1} \in Tx_n \cap B(x_0, R)$ we obtain

$$d(x_\infty, Tx_\infty) \leq d(x_\infty, x_{n+1}) + e(Tx_n \cap B(x_0, R), Tx_\infty),$$

which allows us to conclude that (2) holds. $\square$

With some properties formulated on the multivalued map T we will obtain that the limit x_∞ of a convergent Banach- φ -iterative sequence (x_n) of T satisfies: $x_\infty \in Tx_\infty$ and also, by Theorem 2.1, the a priori estimate $d(x_\infty, x_n) \leq \widehat{\varphi}_n(d(x_0, x_1))$ for all n .

Theorem 2.2. *Let (x_n) be a Banach- φ -iterative sequence of T which converges to x_∞ . Then x_∞ is a fixed point of T in $B(x_0, \widehat{\varphi}(d(x_0, x_1)))$ if anyone of the following assumptions holds:*

- (i) *the function $x \mapsto d(x, Tx)$ is lower semicontinuous (at x_∞),*
- (ii) *the graph of T is closed (or more generally T is closed at x_∞),*
- (iii) *$Tx_\infty \neq \emptyset$ and $\liminf \mathbf{e}(Tx_n \cap B(x_0, \widehat{\varphi}(d(x_0, x_1))), Tx_\infty) \leq \theta d(x_\infty, Tx_\infty)$ for some $0 \leq \theta < 1$,*
- (iv) *$Tx_\infty \neq \emptyset$ and there exist $0 \leq \theta < 1$, $\alpha \geq 0$, $\beta \geq 0$, $\gamma \geq 0$, $R \geq \widehat{\varphi}(d(x_0, x_1))$ such that for all $x, y \in B(x_0, R)$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{e}(Tx \cap B(x_0, R), Ty) &\leq \theta \max(d(x, Ty), d(y, Ty)) + \alpha d(x, y) \\ &+ \beta \max(d(x, Tx \cap B(x_0, R)), d(y, Tx \cap B(x_0, R))) + \gamma \varphi(d(x, y)) \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Proof. In the cases (i) and (iii) we will prove that $d(x_\infty, Tx_\infty) = 0$ in order to conclude that x_∞ belongs to the closed subset Tx_∞ . We can use the obvious facts $(x_n, x_{n+1}) \in \text{Gr}(T)$ and $(x_n, x_{n+1}) \rightarrow (x_\infty, x_\infty)$. By (i) we get

$$d(x_\infty, Tx_\infty) \leq \liminf d(x_n, Tx_n) \leq \lim d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = 0.$$

By (ii) we can assert that $\lim(x_n, x_{n+1}) = (x_\infty, x_\infty) \in \text{Gr}(T)$ and then $x_\infty \in Tx_\infty$. By the assumption (iii) and the inequality (2) we get $d(x_\infty, Tx_\infty) \leq \theta d(x_\infty, Tx_\infty)$ and thus $d(x_\infty, Tx_\infty) = 0$. Now, let us prove that (iv) is a sufficient condition for (iii) to be satisfied. By Theorem 2.1 it is known that $x_n, x_\infty \in B(x_0, R)$ which allows us to use the above property (3) for $x = x_n$, $y = x_\infty$. Using also $x_{n+1} \in Tx_n \cap B(x_0, R)$ we obtain that $\mathbf{e}(Tx_n \cap B(x_0, R), Tx_\infty)$ is majorized by the sum of the four terms $\theta \max(d(x_n, Tx_\infty), d(x_\infty, Tx_\infty))$, $\alpha d(x_n, x_\infty)$, $\beta \max(d(x_n, x_{n+1}), d(x_\infty, x_{n+1}))$ and $\gamma \varphi(d(x_n, x_\infty))$. Hence, letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ we can conclude by continuity of φ at 0 that (iii) holds. $\square$

Of course, the assumption $Tx_\infty \neq \emptyset$ in (iii) and (iv) is satisfied when the domain of T is the whole metric space or simply contains $B(x_0, \widehat{\varphi}(d(x_0, x_1)))$. It is also important to note that Tx_∞ is nonempty when the inequalities in (iv) are valid with $\theta = 0$ (consider $x = x_0$ and $y = x_\infty$).

We remark that conditions (i) and (ii) are independent of φ . The technical condition (iii), closely relative to (2), is satisfied in particular when

$$x \mapsto \mathbf{e}(Tx \cap B(x_0, \widehat{\varphi}(d(x_0, x_1))), Tx_\infty)$$

is continuous at x_∞ (when $Tx_\infty \neq \emptyset$). Obviously, (iii) holds when

$$\liminf \mathbf{e}(Tx_n, Tx_\infty) \leq \theta d(x_\infty, Tx_\infty) < \infty$$

for some $0 \leq \theta < 1$ (a condition independent of φ) and thus when $x \mapsto \mathbf{e}(Tx, Tx_\infty)$ is continuous at x_∞ (when $Tx_\infty \neq \emptyset$). The condition (iv) involves a relationship between six natural distances; many generalizations of the classical notion of contraction are constructed with these terms in order to get a generalization of Banach's theorem. We can remark that a quasi-contraction $T : X \rightarrow X$ in the sense of Ćirić [9] implies (iv) with $R = \infty$ (see also [24] for a survey of such generalizations of contractions for single-valued maps).

We now apply these last two theorems for classical maps: Kannan maps (that can be discontinuous) and contractions.

For that purpose, consider a multivalued map $T : X \rightrightarrows X$ with nonempty values which satisfies for $K, \theta \geq 0$ with $2K + \theta < 1$:

$$\forall x, y \in X, \forall x' \in Tx, \exists y' \in Ty, d(x', y') \leq Kd(x, Tx) + Kd(y, Ty) + \theta d(x, y). \quad (4)$$

We remark that the case $0 < K < \frac{1}{2}$ and $\theta = 0$ corresponds to a Kannan multivalued map and that the case $0 < \theta < 1$ and $K = 0$ corresponds to a Banach contraction in the strong sense

$$\forall x, y \in X, \forall x' \in Tx, \exists y' \in Ty, d(x', y') \leq \theta d(x, y),$$

which in particular is satisfied for a Banach contraction with constant $\tilde{\theta} \in (0, 1)$ in the usual sense

$$\forall x, y \in X, \mathbf{e}(Tx, Ty) \leq \tilde{\theta} d(x, y),$$

by taking θ such that $0 < \tilde{\theta} < \theta < 1$. With $\lambda = (K + \theta)(1 - K)^{-1}$ the function $\varphi(t) = \lambda t$ is a Banach modulus (since $0 \leq \lambda < 1$) and we easily prove that T satisfies

$$\forall x \in X, \forall x' \in Tx, \exists x'' \in Tx', d(x', x'') \leq \lambda d(x, x') = \varphi(d(x, x')).$$

Starting with $x_1 \in Tx_0$ we can construct a sequence such that $x_{n+1} \in Tx_n$ and $d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \lambda d(x_{n-1}, x_n)$ for $n \geq 1$. Thus (x_n) is a Banach- φ -iterative sequence of T (we can also apply Proposition 3.1 below). Moreover, the property (iv) of Theorem 2.2 is satisfied. We can obtain the existence of fixed point (see [15], [23]) with an error estimate.

Corollary 2.3. *Let $T : X \rightrightarrows X$ be a map with nonempty values which satisfies (4) for $K, \theta \geq 0$ with $2K + \theta < 1$. Consider $x_1 \in Tx_0$ and $\varphi(t) = \lambda t$ such that $\lambda = (K + \theta)(1 - K)^{-1}$. Then there exists a Banach- φ -iterative sequence (x_n) of T . Moreover, if the space X is complete then (x_n) converges to a fixed point x_∞ of T and $d(x_\infty, x_n) \leq \lambda^n(1 - \lambda)^{-1}d(x_0, x_1)$.*

3. Fixed points of Banach- φ -contractions

The concept of pseudo-Lipschitz maps introduced by Aubin [3] plays an important role in set-valued analysis (see, e.g., [4], [21], [26], [27]). Especially the concept of pseudo-contractions that have been used for some extensions of the inverse mapping theorem as in [11] where Dontchev and Hager first proved a fixed point theorem for a pseudo-contraction which is a generalization of Nadler's theorem (see [20] and [10]) and also a generalization of a local version of Banach's principle [13, Cor. (1.2)] (see [25], [14] for multivalued contractions).

We will generalize the classical definition of a pseudo-contraction with constant $\theta \in (0, 1)$ in the following. Our definitions will depend on a Banach modulus φ and a pair $(x_0, R) \in X \times [0, \infty]$ or a pair $(x_0, x_1) \in \text{Gr}(T)$.

- $T : X \rightrightarrows X$ is said to be a *pseudo- φ -contraction w.r.t. $x_0 \in X$ and $R \geq 0$* (also said w.r.t. the ball $B(x_0, R)$) iff φ is a Banach modulus and

$$\forall x, y \in B(x_0, R), \forall x' \in Tx \cap B(x_0, R), \exists y' \in Ty, d(x', y') \leq \varphi(d(x, y)). \quad (5)$$

- $T : X \rightrightarrows X$ is said to be a *Banach- φ -contraction w.r.t. the points (x_0, x_1)* iff $(x_0, x_1) \in \text{Gr}(T)$ with $\widehat{\varphi}(d(x_0, x_1)) < \infty$ and T is a pseudo- φ -contraction w.r.t. $x_0 \in X$ and $R = \widehat{\varphi}(d(x_0, x_1))$.

The definition in (5) is a slightly stronger form than the classical form:

$$\forall x, y \in B(x_0, R), \forall x' \in Tx \cap B(x_0, R), d(x', Ty) \leq \varphi(d(x, y))$$

also written with the excess as:

$$\forall x, y \in B(x_0, R), \mathbf{e}(Tx \cap B(x_0, R), Ty) \leq \varphi(d(x, y)). \quad (6)$$

This version is used for the existence of fixed points in [5] by Azé and Penot with an open ball $U(x_0, R)$ and the classical modulus $t \mapsto \theta t$ with $\theta \in (0, 1)$ and under the condition $(1 - \theta)R > d(x_0, Tx_0)$. By choosing $x_1 \in Tx_0$ such that $d(x_0, x_1) < (1 - \theta)R$ and $\theta' \in (\theta, 1)$, $R' \in (0, R)$ such that $(1 - \theta')R' > d(x_0, x_1)$, we can assert that T is then a pseudo- φ' -contraction w.r.t. (x_0, x_1) and also w.r.t. $B(x_0, R')$ by denoting $\varphi'(t) = \theta't$.

The following proposition proves that if T is a Banach- φ -contraction w.r.t. (x_0, x_1) , then there exists a Banach- φ -iterative sequence (x_n) of T starting from $x_0, x_1, \dots$ and contained in $B(x_0, \widehat{\varphi}(d(x_0, x_1)))$. In fact we will use a weaker form of (5) where formally $y = x'$ for each $(x, x') \in \text{Gr}(T) \cap B(x_0, R) \times B(x_0, R)$.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $x_0 \in X$, $x_1 \in Tx_0$ and $R \in (0, \infty)$. Assume that we have $R \geq \widehat{\varphi}(d(x_0, x_1))$ with φ a Banach modulus and*

$$\forall x \in B(x_0, R), \forall x' \in Tx \cap B(x_0, R), \exists x'' \in Tx', d(x', x'') \leq \varphi(d(x, x')). \quad (7)$$

Then there exists a Banach- φ -iterative sequence (x_n) of T in $B(x_0, R)$.

Proof. We will prove the existence of $x_2, \dots, x_n$ such that, for $1 \leq k \leq n$, we have (i) $x_k \in Tx_{k-1} \cap B(x_0, R)$, (ii) $d(x_{k-1}, x_k) \leq \varphi^{(k-1)}(d(x_0, x_1))$ and, for $2 \leq k \leq n$, we have (iii) $d(x_{k-1}, x_k) \leq \varphi(d(x_{k-2}, x_{k-1}))$.

These properties are satisfied by assumption for $n = 1$. Assume (i)-(ii)-(iii) for n and then apply (7) with $x = x_{n-1}$ and $x' = x_n$ (from (i)). Given by x'' we can consider $x_{n+1} \in Tx_n$ with (iii)' $d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \varphi(d(x_{n-1}, x_n))$. From (ii) for $k = n$ with φ nondecreasing and from (iii)' we deduce (ii)' $d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \varphi^{(n)}(d(x_0, x_1))$. From (ii)-(ii)' we get $d(x_0, x_{n+1}) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \varphi^{(k-1)}(d(x_0, x_1)) \leq \widehat{\varphi}(d(x_0, x_1))$. Thus (i)' $x_{n+1} \in Tx_n \cap B(x_0, R)$ and we can conclude. $\square$

The following theorem is a generalization of a theorem of Bianchini and Grandolfi stated in the case of single-valued maps [6, Teorema 1]. It points out that our definition of Banach- φ -contraction w.r.t. (x_0, x_1) is important for the existence of a fixed point in a complete metric space.

Theorem 3.2. *If $T : X \rightrightarrows X$ is a Banach- φ -contraction w.r.t. (x_0, x_1) and if $B(x_0, \widehat{\varphi}(d(x_0, x_1)))$ is complete, then T admits a fixed point in this ball. Moreover any φ -iterative sequence (x_n) of T starting from (x_0, x_1) is convergent and its limit x_∞ is a fixed point of T satisfying $d(x_\infty, x_n) \leq \widehat{\varphi}_n(d(x_0, x_1))$.*

Proof. From Proposition 3.1, we can consider a Banach- φ -iterative sequence (x_n) of T in $B(x_0, R)$ by denoting $R = \widehat{\varphi}(d(x_0, x_1))$. Assuming that this subset is complete we can assert from Theorem 2.1 that (x_n) is convergent to some $\bar{x} \in B(x_0, R)$. By (6) and by Theorem 2.2 (iv) with $\theta = \alpha = \beta = 0, \gamma = 1$ we conclude that $\bar{x} \in T\bar{x} \cap B(x_0, R)$. The fact that any φ -iterative sequence (x_n) of T converges to a fixed point x_∞ satisfying (1) is consequence of these two theorems. $\square$

Let us give an elementary example of application of Theorem 3.2.

Example 3.3. Consider the space $X = [0, 1] \cup \{2, 3, 4, \dots\}$ and the metric d defined on X by $d(x, y) = |x - y|$ if $x, y \in [0, 1]$ and $d(x, y) = x + y$ otherwise; this metric space is complete (see [7]). We now define a single-valued map $T : X \rightarrow X$ by $Tx = x/2$ if $x \in [0, 1]$ and $Tx = x - 1$ if $x \in \{2, 3, 4, \dots\}$. It is straightforward to establish that $d(Tx, Ty) \leq \varphi(d(x, y))$ for $x, y \in X$ where φ is defined by $\varphi(t) = t/2$ for $0 \leq t \leq 2$ and $\varphi(t) = t - 1$ for $2 < t$. We can remark that T is not a contraction since $d(Tn, T0)/d(n, 0) \rightarrow 1$ but T is contractive from $\varphi(t) < t$ (and so T has at most one fixed point). Since φ is a Banach modulus such that $\widehat{\varphi}(\tau) < \infty$ for all $\tau > 0$ we can apply Theorem 3.2 for all (x_0, x_1) in the graph of T : each iterative sequence $(T^{(k)}(x_0))$ converge to the unique fixed point $x_\infty = 0$ and the error estimate $d(x_\infty, x_n) \leq \widehat{\varphi}_n(d(x_0, Tx_0))$ holds. Multivalued versions of this map T can be considered to apply Theorem 3.2 (with, for instance, $x \mapsto \{Tx, 1\}$). $\square$

When the modulus φ is continuous we can get an estimate relative to the distance from the point x_0 to the set Tx_0 and the function $\widehat{\varphi}$. We thus introduce the definition:

$T : X \rightrightarrows X$ is a *Banach- φ -contraction* at $x_0 \in \text{dom}(T)$ iff T is a Banach- φ -contraction w.r.t. (x_0, x_1) for some $x_1 \in Tx_0$.

Corollary 3.4. *Let X be a complete space and let φ be a continuous Banach modulus. If $T : X \rightrightarrows X$ is a Banach- φ -contraction at x_0 , then $\text{Fix}(T) \neq \emptyset$ and*

$$d(x_0, \text{Fix}(T)) \leq \widehat{\varphi}(d(x_0, Tx_0)).$$

This direct consequence is based on classical arguments and we leave the proof to the reader.

For a pseudo-contraction T around $(x_0, x_1) \in \text{Gr}(T)$ with constant $\tilde{\theta}$ and relative to a closed ball centered at x_0 with radius $R > (1 - \tilde{\theta})^{-1}d(x_0, x_1)$ which is complete we obtain the existence of a fixed point (see [11]). We also obtain some convergence properties to a fixed point x_∞ for some θ -Lipschitz-iterative (in the sense φ -iterative with $\varphi(t) = \theta t$) sequence (x_n) of T with an a priori estimate (8). In particular $d(x_\infty, x_0) \leq (1 - \theta)^{-1}d(x_0, x_1)$ (see [5]).

Corollary 3.5. *Let $x_0 \in X$, $x_1 \in Tx_0$, $R > 0$ and $0 < \tilde{\theta} < 1$. Assume that $R > (1 - \tilde{\theta})^{-1}d(x_0, x_1)$ and $B(x_0, R)$ is complete. If T satisfies*

$$\forall x, y \in B(x_0, R), \mathbf{e}(Tx \cap B(x_0, R), Ty) \leq \tilde{\theta}d(x, y),$$

then T admits a fixed point in $B(x_0, R)$.

Moreover, for $\tilde{\theta} \leq \theta < 1 - R^{-1}d(x_0, x_1)$, if (x_n) is a θ -Lipschitz-iterative sequence of T , then (x_n) is convergent and its limit x_∞ is a fixed point of T satisfying

$$d(x_\infty, x_n) \leq \theta^n(1 - \theta)^{-1}d(x_0, x_1). \quad (8)$$

Proof. We can consider $\theta > \tilde{\theta}$ such that $R > (1 - \theta)^{-1}d(x_0, x_1)$. We can apply Theorem 3.2 with the modulus $\varphi(t) = \theta t$ since

$$\forall x \in B(x_0, R), \forall y \in B(x_0, R) \setminus \{x\}, \forall x' \in Tx \cap B(x_0, R), d(x', Ty) < \theta d(x, y)$$

and then (8) holds. $\square$

Remark 3.6. For the general class of multivalued maps defined in Proposition 3.1, it is possible to get fixed points by a direct application of Theorem 2.2. We can assert that under the assumptions of Proposition 3.1 where $B(x_0, \widehat{\varphi}(d(x_0, x_1)))$ is assumed to be complete: if the graph of T is closed or if the function $x \mapsto d(x, Tx)$ is lower semicontinuous, then T admits a fixed point in $B(x_0, \widehat{\varphi}(d(x_0, x_1)))$. We can also give estimates by Theorem 2.1. Such results have been obtained by Petrusel et al. in [22, Thm. 5.3] for the classical modulus $\varphi(t) = \theta t$.

4. Stability and convergence of fixed points

Stability of fixed points of multivalued maps plays an important role in the applications. Stability for fixed points of contractions was investigated in [20] and [19] (see also [1]). Generalizations for pseudo-contractions are given in [5] (see also [22] for other generalizations). We will present some stability properties for the fixed points of pseudo- φ -contractions where some local excess between two fixed point sets will be controlled by the value for the function $\widehat{\varphi}$ of a uniform excess between the two maps.

From now on, in order to simplify the notations we denote

$$\mathbf{e}_{x_0, r}(A, B) = \mathbf{e}(A \cap B(x_0, r), B),$$

$$\mathbf{e}_{x_0, r, R}(A, B) = \mathbf{e}(A \cap B(x_0, r), B \cap B(x_0, R)),$$

and similar notations for the Hausdorff-Pompeiu distance.

We first give an easy condition for a pseudo- φ -contraction to be a Banach- φ -contraction.

Proposition 4.1. *Let φ be a Banach modulus and $0 < r < R$. Let $T : X \rightrightarrows X$ be a pseudo- φ -contraction w.r.t. $B(x_0, R)$. If $y_0 \in B(x_0, r)$ and $y'_0 \in Ty_0$ with $r + \widehat{\varphi}(d(y_0, y'_0)) \leq R$, then T is a Banach- φ -contraction w.r.t. (y_0, y'_0) .*

Proof. Denote $\delta = \widehat{\varphi}(d(y_0, y'_0))$. The inclusion $B(y_0, \delta) \subset B(x_0, R)$ follows from $d(y, x_0) \leq d(y, y_0) + d(y_0, x_0)$ and $\delta + r \leq R$. Thus, T is a pseudo- φ -contraction w.r.t. $B(y_0, \widehat{\varphi}(d(y_0, y'_0)))$ and we conclude from $(y_0, y'_0) \in \text{Gr}(T)$. $\square$

We first state a stability property in a version relative to a given fixed point.

Theorem 4.2. *Let φ be a Banach modulus and let $R, r, \tau > 0$ with $r + \widehat{\varphi}(\tau) \leq R$. Let $T : X \rightrightarrows X$ be a pseudo- φ -contraction w.r.t. the ball $B(x_0, R)$ assumed to be complete. Let $S : X \rightrightarrows X$ be a multivalued map satisfying $\mathbf{e}_{x_0, r}(Sy_0, Ty_0) < \tau$ for some $y_0 \in B(x_0, r)$. If y_0 is a fixed point of S , then T admits a fixed point in $B(x_0, R)$. If moreover φ is continuous on $[0, \tau]$, then*

$$d(y_0, \text{Fix}(T) \cap B(x_0, R)) \leq \widehat{\varphi}(\mathbf{e}_{x_0, r}(Sy_0, Ty_0)) \leq R - r.$$

Proof. By denoting $\tau_0 = \mathbf{e}_{x_0, r}(Sy_0, Ty_0)$ where $y_0 \in \text{Fix}(S) \cap B(x_0, r)$, we consider arbitrarily $\tau_1 \in (\tau_0, \tau)$. Since $d(y_0, Ty_0) \leq \tau_0$ there exists $y'_0 \in Ty_0$ such that $d(y_0, y'_0) \leq \tau_1$. We have $r + \widehat{\varphi}(d(y_0, y'_0)) \leq R$ and thus T is a Banach- φ -contraction w.r.t. (y_0, y'_0) by Proposition 4.1. Moreover, the closed ball $B(y_0, \widehat{\varphi}(d(y_0, y'_0)))$ contained in $B(x_0, R)$ is also complete. Applying now Theorem 3.2 we conclude that T admits a fixed point $\bar{x}$ in $B(y_0, \widehat{\varphi}(d(y_0, y'_0)))$ and thus in $B(x_0, R)$. We can assert that

$$d(y_0, \text{Fix}(T) \cap B(x_0, R)) \leq d(y_0, \bar{x}) \leq \widehat{\varphi}(d(y_0, y'_0)) \leq \widehat{\varphi}(\tau_1).$$

In consequence of the continuity of φ and thus of $\widehat{\varphi}$ on $[0, \tau]$ and letting $\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_0$ we get the conclusion. $\square$

We can now deduce the uniform version concerning the two fixed point sets.

Corollary 4.3. *Let φ be a continuous Banach modulus and let $R, r, \tau > 0$ with $r + \widehat{\varphi}(\tau) \leq R$. Let $T : X \rightrightarrows X$ be a pseudo- φ -contraction w.r.t. the closed ball $B(x_0, R)$ which is assumed to be complete. Let $S : X \rightrightarrows X$ be a multivalued map satisfying the τ -approximation condition with T :*

$$\sup_{y \in B(x_0, r)} \mathbf{e}_{x_0, r}(Sy, Ty) < \tau.$$

Then
$$\mathbf{e}_{x_0, r, R}(\text{Fix}(S), \text{Fix}(T)) \leq \widehat{\varphi}\left(\sup_{y \in B(x_0, r)} \mathbf{e}_{x_0, r}(Sy, Ty)\right).$$

Proof. We can assume that $\text{Fix}(S) \cap B(x_0, r)$ is nonempty (for otherwise the conclusion is obvious). We apply Theorem 4.2 for any fixed point y_0 of S in $B(x_0, r)$. We first remark the obvious inequalities $\widehat{\varphi}(\mathbf{e}_{x_0, r}(Sy_0, Ty_0)) \leq \widehat{\varphi}(e_{x_0, r}(S, T)) \leq \widehat{\varphi}(\tau)$ where $e_{x_0, r}(S, T)$ denotes the supremum of $\mathbf{e}_{x_0, r}(Sy, Ty)$ where $y \in B(x_0, r)$. We get

$$d(y_0, \text{Fix}(T) \cap B(x_0, R)) \leq \widehat{\varphi}(e_{x_0, r}(S, T)) \leq R - r$$

and the conclusion is immediate since this holds for all $y_0 \in \text{Fix}(S) \cap B(x_0, r)$. $\square$

We remark that when the assumptions of this corollary are satisfied the pseudo- φ -contraction T admits a fixed point in $B(x_0, R)$ as soon as the perturbation S admits a fixed point in $B(x_0, r)$.

We conclude this paper by some applications of the previous stability properties for the study of convergence of a sequence of fixed point sets. For the sequel we consider a continuous Banach modulus φ and some real numbers $0 < r < R$ and a point $x_0 \in X$. We also consider some multivalued maps $T_n : X \rightrightarrows X$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $T_\infty : X \rightrightarrows X$.

Proposition 4.4. *For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $T_n : X \rightrightarrows X$ be a pseudo- φ -contraction w.r.t. the ball $B(x_0, R)$ assumed to be complete. Let $T_\infty : X \rightrightarrows X$ be a multivalued map satisfying the pointwise convergence condition with (T_n) :*

$$\forall y \in B(x_0, r), \mathbf{e}_{x_0, r}(T_\infty y, T_n y) \rightarrow 0.$$

If T_∞ admits a fixed point y_0 in $B(x_0, r)$ then T_n admits a fixed point in $B(x_0, R)$ for n large enough and

$$d(y_0, \text{Fix}(T_n) \cap B(x_0, R)) \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof. Let y_0 be a fixed point of T_∞ in $B(x_0, r)$. By continuity of the Banach modulus φ , the function $\widehat{\varphi}$ is continuous on $[0, \tau_0]$ for some $\tau_0 > 0$. Since $\widehat{\varphi}$ is continuous at 0 we can choose $\tau > 0$ such that $r + \widehat{\varphi}(\tau) \leq R$ and thus we apply Theorem 4.2 with $T = T_n$ and $S = T_\infty$ since $\mathbf{e}_{x_0, r}(T_\infty y_0, T_n y_0) < \tau$ for $n \geq N$ large enough. We have the existence of a fixed point in $B(x_0, R)$ for each T_n (with $n \geq N$) and

$$d(y_0, \text{Fix}(T_n) \cap B(x_0, R)) \leq \widehat{\varphi}(\mathbf{e}_{x_0, r}(T_\infty y_0, T_n y_0)).$$

We conclude by continuity of $\widehat{\varphi}$ with the assumption $\mathbf{e}_{x_0, r}(T_\infty y_0, T_n y_0) \rightarrow 0$. $\square$

Proposition 4.5. *For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $T_n : X \rightrightarrows X$ be a pseudo- φ -contraction w.r.t. the ball $B(x_0, R)$ assumed to be complete. Let $T_\infty : X \rightrightarrows X$ be a multivalued map satisfying the uniform convergence condition with (T_n) :*

$$\sup_{y \in B(x_0, r)} \mathbf{e}_{x_0, r}(T_\infty y, T_n y) \rightarrow 0.$$

If T_∞ admits a fixed point in $B(x_0, r)$ then, for n large enough, T_n admits a fixed point in $B(x_0, R)$ and

$$\mathbf{e}_{x_0, r, R}(\text{Fix}(T_\infty), \text{Fix}(T_n)) \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof. We assume that T_∞ admits a fixed point in $B(x_0, r)$. By continuity of φ , we can consider $\tau > 0$ such that $\widehat{\varphi}$ is continuous on $[0, \tau]$ and $r + \widehat{\varphi}(\tau) \leq R$. Since $\delta_n := \sup_{y \in B(x_0, r)} \mathbf{e}_{x_0, r}(T_\infty y, T_n y) \rightarrow 0$ we can fix an integer N such that $\delta_n < \tau$ for $n \geq N$. The conclusion is a direct consequence of Corollary 4.3: each T_n (with $n \geq N$) admits a fixed point in $B(x_0, R)$ and

$$\mathbf{e}_{x_0, r, R}(\text{Fix}(T_\infty), \text{Fix}(T_n)) \leq \widehat{\varphi}\left(\sup_{y \in B(x_0, r)} \mathbf{e}_{x_0, r}(T_\infty y, T_n y)\right). \quad (9)$$

We conclude from $\widehat{\varphi}(\delta_n) \rightarrow \widehat{\varphi}(0) = 0$. $\square$

Proposition 4.6. *Let $T_\infty : X \rightrightarrows X$ be a pseudo- φ -contraction w.r.t. the ball $B(x_0, R)$ assumed to be complete. Let $T_n : X \rightrightarrows X$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) be a sequence of multivalued maps satisfying the uniform convergence condition with T_∞ :*

$$\sup_{y \in B(x_0, r)} \mathbf{e}_{x_0, r}(T_n y, T_\infty y) \rightarrow 0.$$

If each T_n admits a fixed point in $B(x_0, r)$ then, T_∞ admits a fixed point in $B(x_0, R)$ and

$$\mathbf{e}_{x_0, r, R}(\text{Fix}(T_n), \text{Fix}(T_\infty)) \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof. We assume that each T_n admits a fixed point in $B(x_0, r)$. We can fix $\tau > 0$ such that $\widehat{\varphi}$ is continuous on $[0, \tau]$ and $r + \widehat{\varphi}(\tau) \leq R$. We can choose an integer N such that $\Delta_n := \sup_{y \in B(x_0, r)} \mathbf{e}_{x_0, r}(T_n y, T_\infty y) < \tau$ for $n \geq N$. We immediately conclude by Corollary 4.3 (with $T = T_\infty$ and $S = T_n$) which asserts that T_∞ admits a fixed point in $B(x_0, R)$ and (for $n \geq N$)

$$\mathbf{e}_{x_0, r, R}(\text{Fix}(T_n), \text{Fix}(T_\infty)) \leq \widehat{\varphi}\left(\sup_{y \in B(x_0, r)} \mathbf{e}_{x_0, r}(T_n y, T_\infty y)\right). \quad (10)$$

The proof is achieved from $\widehat{\varphi}(\Delta_n) \rightarrow 0$. $\square$

Corollary 4.7. *Let T_∞ and T_n ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) be pseudo- φ -contractions w.r.t. $B(x_0, R)$ assumed to be complete. If T_∞ and T_n ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) admit fixed points in the ball $B(x_0, r)$ and if*

$$\sup_{y \in B(x_0, r)} \mathbf{h}_{x_0, r}(T_n y, T_\infty y) \rightarrow 0,$$

then

$$\mathbf{h}_{x_0, r, R}(\text{Fix}(T_n), \text{Fix}(T_\infty)) \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof. The corollary is a direct consequence of the two previous propositions; we remark that for n large enough

$$\mathbf{h}_{x_0, r, R}(\text{Fix}(T_n), \text{Fix}(T_\infty)) \leq \widehat{\varphi} \left(\sup_{y \in B(x_0, r)} \mathbf{h}_{x_0, r}(T_n y, T_\infty y) \right). \quad (11)$$

□

It is worth noticing that we also have some informations on the speed of convergence of the fixed point sets given respectively by (9), (10) and (11) for the last corresponding results.

References

- [1] S. Adly, A. L. Dontchev, M. Théra: *On one-sided Lipschitz stability of set-valued contractions*, Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim. 35/7-9 (2014) 837–850.
- [2] S. Almezal, Q. H. Ansari, M. A. Khamsi (eds.): *Topics in Fixed Point Theory*, Springer, Cham (2014).
- [3] J.-P. Aubin: *Lipschitz behavior of solutions to convex minimization problems*, Math. Oper. Res. 9/1 (1984) 87–111.
- [4] J.-P. Aubin, H. Frankowska: *Set-Valued Analysis*, Systems & Control: Foundations & Applications, Birkhäuser, Boston (1990).
- [5] D. Azé, J.-P. Penot: *On the dependence of fixed point sets of pseudo-contractive multifunctions. Application to differential inclusions*, Nonlinear Dyn. Syst. Theory 6/1 (2006) 31–47.
- [6] R. M. Bianchini, M. Grandolfi: *Trasformazioni di tipo contrattivo generalizzato in uno spazio metrico*, Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Nat. Ser. 8, 45 (1968) 212–216.
- [7] D. W. Boyd, J. S. W. Wong: *On nonlinear contractions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 20 (1969) 458–464.
- [8] G. Choquet: *Cours d'Analyse. Tome II: Topologie. Espaces Topologiques et Espaces Métriques. Fonctions Numériques. Espaces Vectoriels Topologiques*, Masson, Paris (1964).
- [9] Lj. B. Ćirić: *A generalization of Banach's contraction principle*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 45 (1974) 267–273.
- [10] H. Covitz, S. B. Nadler, Jr.: *Multi-valued contraction mappings in generalized metric spaces*, Israel J. Math. 8 (1970) 5–11.
- [11] A. L. Dontchev, W. W. Hager: *An inverse mapping theorem for set-valued maps*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 121/2 (1994) 481–489.

- [12] K. Goebel, W. A. Kirk: *Topics in Metric Fixed Point Theory*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics 28, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1990).
- [13] A. Granas, J. Dugundji: *Fixed Point Theory*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer, New York (2003).
- [14] A. D. Ioffe, V. M. Tihomirov: *Theory of Extremal Problems*, Studies in Mathematics and its Applications 6, North-Holland, Amsterdam (1979).
- [15] R. Kannan: *Some results on fixed points*, Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc. 60 (1968) 71–76.
- [16] W. A. Kirk: *Contraction mappings and extensions*, in: *Handbook of Metric Fixed Point Theory*, W. A. Kirk et al. (eds.), Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht (2001) 1–34.
- [17] W. A. Kirk, B. Sims (eds.): *Handbook of Metric Fixed Point Theory*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht (2001).
- [18] A. Latif: *Banach contraction principle and its generalizations*, in: *Topics in Fixed Point Theory*, S. Almezal et al. (eds.), Springer, Cham (2016) 33–64.
- [19] T.-C. Lim: *On fixed point stability for set-valued contractive mappings with applications to generalized differential equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 110/2 (1985) 436–441.
- [20] S. B. Nadler, Jr.: *Multi-valued contraction mappings*, Pacific J. Math. 30 (1969) 475–488.
- [21] J.-P. Penot: *Calculus without Derivatives*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 266, Springer, New York (2013).
- [22] A. Petrusel, G. Petrusel, J.-C. Yao: *Multi-valued graph contraction principle with applications*, Optimization 69/7-8 (2020) 1541–1556.
- [23] S. Reich: *Kannan’s fixed point theorem*, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. (4) 4 (1971) 1–11.
- [24] B. E. Rhoades: *A comparison of various definitions of contractive mappings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 226 (1977) 257–290.
- [25] S. M. Robinson: *An inverse-function theorem for a class of multivalued functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 41 (1973) 211–218.
- [26] R. T. Rockafellar, R. J.-B. Wets: *Variational Analysis*, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften 317, Springer, Berlin (1998).
- [27] L. Thibault: *Unilateral Variational Analysis in Banach Spaces. I: General Theory*, World Scientific, Singapore (2023).